## 12/11/2017~12/15/2017

## Fitting of Paula's log(IEI) histograms

- Overlayed fits of each slice of the same experiment on top of each other.
- Using kernel distributions









## - Using Gaussian-ExpExponential distribution fits



- Overlayed fits of each cell of the same slice on top of each other
- xHC067_3nMAng_Control


- x10HC067_3nMAng





- x50pMAng





- x300pMAng





x3nMAng






- Plotted stacked histograms of intra-burst means, inter-burst means, spacing parameters, thresholds, void parameters for each cell grouped by slice - Intra-burst means


Intra-burst means of $\mathbf{x 1 0 H C 0 6 7}$ _3nMAng using Gaussian-ExpExponential fits






## - Inter-burst means







## - Spacing parameters

pacing parameters of xHC067_3nMAng_Control using Gaussian-ExpExponential fits




Spacing parameters of $\times 10 \mathrm{HCO67}$ _3nMAng using Gaussian-ExpExponential fits




## - Thresholds (minimum between peaks)








## - Void parameters



- Scatter plots of statistics taken from each cell grouped by slice - Inter-burst mean vs. intra-burst mean (linear scale)






- Inter-burst mean vs. intra-burst mean (log scale)









## - Void parameter vs. Threshold (linear scale)


ameter vs. Threshold for cells in $\times 10 \mathrm{HCO67}$ _3nMAng using Gaussian-ExpExponential fits






## - Void parameter vs. Threshold (log scale)







## - Spacing parameter vs. Threshold (linear scale)


ng Parameter vs. Threshold for cells in $\times 50 \mathrm{pMAng}$ using Gaussian-ExpExponential fits






## - Spacing parameter vs. Threshold (log scale)





- Void parameter vs. spacing parameter








## Plan for next week

- Dynamic Clamp Data Analysis:
- Extract spike threshold \& maximum slope time
- Plot correlation diagrams both ways and perform regression analyses
- Single Neuron Fitting
- Adapt code so that parallel MATLAB toolbox could be called without using a license
- Fit 12 traces (1 trace per condition) for each cell on Rivanna, 20 initial conditions
- Fit all traces (5~15 traces per condition) for each cell on Rivanna, 20 initial conditions
- Network
- Implement network simulations without HH currents by predicting burst onset time, spikes per burst \& spike frequency based on maximum LTS slope time and value.
- Plot autocorrelograms and compute oscillatory index, oscillation period.
- Oscillation experiments
- Move Paula's rig?
- Learn how to perform oscillation experiments.

11/29/2017~12/10/2017

Fitting of Paula's log(IEI) histograms

- Raw $\log (\mathrm{IEI})$ data
- By Experiment









## - By Cell






- Determination of intra-burst vs. inter-burst means and threshold:
- Method 1: Compute kernel distributions with a Gaussian kernel. Choose mode as first peak; choose 2nd peak that has the highest void parameter value when paired with the mode. Choose threshold as the minimum between the two peaks.
- Note: an Epanechnikov kernel did not change the bar graphs significantly
- The default bandwidth Matlab uses is "optimal for normal distributions." However, I cannot find how this was computed. Therefore, the newest version forces Gaussian kernels to have a bandwidth equal to $1 / 5$ * standard deviation of the data.
- Default bandwidth: "optimal for normal distributions"


- Bandwidth $=1 /{ }^{*}$ * standard deviation of the data

- Method 2: Fit with 2 Gaussian distributions using the maximum likelihood estimate. Threshold \#1 is the intersection of the two component distributions. Threshold \#2 is the minimum between the two peaks in the combined distribution.

- Method 3: Fit with a Gaussian distribution + an Exp-Exponential distribution using the maximum likelihood estimate. Threshold \#1 is the intersection of the two component distributions. Threshold \#2 is the minimum between the two peaks in the combined distribution.

- Examples of fits and threshold determination
- By Experiment






- By Slice

- For some slices, is there really a second peak? Note the difference in void parameter value computed from two different methods. In fact, there is only one cell recorded in this slice.




## - The trend with increasing drug concentration:








- By Cell






- Did slice data31 really have a second peak? A look at the distributions for each cell in the slice:

- Statistics of fits and threshold determination
- Intra-burst means vs. inter-burst means












- Intra-burst means



- Spacing parameters (Difference between intra-burst and inter-burst mean)

- Threshold \#1s (intersections)


- Threshold \#2s (minimums)


- Void parameter \#1s (intersections)


- Void parameter \#2s (minimums)





## Plan for next week

- Paula's IEls
- Overlay fits of each slice of the same experiment on top of each other. Overlay fits of each cell of the same slice on top of each other
- Plot histograms of interburst means, intra-burst means, spacing parameters, thresholds, void parameters for each cell colored by slice
- Discuss with Paula
- Dynamic Clamp Data Analysis:
- Extract spike threshold \& maximum slope time
- Plot correlation diagrams both ways and perform regression analyses
- Single Neuron Fitting
- Figure out problem running NEURON on Rivanna (with ACRS staff)
- Adapt code so that parallel MATLAB toolbox could be called without using a license
- Fit 12 traces (1 trace per condition) for each cell on Rivanna, 20 initial conditions
- Fit all traces (5~15 traces per condition) for each cell on Rivanna, 20 initial conditions
- Network
- Implement network simulations without HH currents by predicting burst onset time, spikes per burst \& spike frequency based on maximum LTS slope time and value.
- Plot autocorrelograms and compute oscillatory index, oscillation period.
- Oscillation experiments
- Move Paula's rig?
- Learn how to perform oscillation experiments.


## Updates to minEASE

- Now creates empty output files if no events are detected, so that events are combined whenever all sweeps are passed at least once.
- Now allows the manual mode to be skipped
- Usage: minEASE('miniTest_Peter.xIsx', ‘SkipManual', true);
- Added a parameter to exclude too short events (minimum decay time)
- Add a column to the input Excel file called "Minimum PSC 50\% Decay Time (ms)"; if no such column is present, the default is 0.3 ms
- Class 4 events are now called "Wrong decay" events
- An example of a class 4 event:

- Added parameters to allow a directional event to have a breakpoint below baseline only up to a certain percentage of the peak amplitude
- Add a column to the input Excel file called "Baseline Window Size (ms)"; if no such column is present, the default is $5 \mathbf{~ m s}$
- Add a column called "Maximum Below Baseline Percentage (\%)"; if no such column is present, the default is 100 \%
- Direction Factor * (Baseline value - Breakpoint value)/Peak Amplitude x $100 \%$ < Maximum Below Baseline Percentage
- An example of an event present at 100\%:

- The same event is not present at 30\%:


Note that the number of events for class 1 is now 142 (not much changed)

- Events are now ranked internally to allow for different modes of incrementing/decrementing event numbers
- By time (default)
- By amplitude
- By 10-90\% rise time
- By 50\% decay time

- Fixed bug: Wrong prompt occurred when changing from class 8
- Moved verify_classNoNew() into change_class() so that it is executed after computing next-event-dependent statistics in case an event is added


## Fitting of Paula's IEI histograms

- Separation of intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs in literature
- Chen et al., 2009 ("Detection of bursts in neuronal spike trains by the mean inter-spike interval method")

- Separation of intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a Gaussian-mixture model

- Separation of intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a Gaussian-Exponential mixture model





## Comparison of intraburst means using Gaussian-Exponential fits for pooled data



- Separate intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a two Gaussians model for each slice, then compute the average and standard deviations of the means obtained from the fits for each slice








- Separate intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a Gaussian-Exponential mixture model for each slice, then compute the average and standard deviations of the means obtained from the fits for each slice








- Separate intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using two Gaussians for each cell, then compute the average and standard deviations of the means obtained from the fits for each cell, ignoring those that don't fit








- Separate intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a Gaussian-Exponential mixture model for each cell, then compute the average and standard deviations of the means obtained from the fits for each cell, ignoring those that don't fit








10/18/2017~

Investigation of the Destexhe 1996 Model

- Network structure:
- 2 RT neurons \& 2 TC neurons
- RT-RT inhibition present
- RT-TC and TC-RT are both all-to-all
- Spindle oscillations

(Figure 7 of Destexhe et al., 1996)

- Delta oscillations

- Bicuculline-induced paroxysmal oscillations

(Figure 8 of Destexhe et al., 1996)

- Remove divergence of TC-RT connections:
- TC1->RT1, TC2->RT2 (now with double the strength as before)
- Spindle oscillations have longer duration

- Delta oscillations are now localized

- Bicuculline-induced paroxysmal oscillations have shorter duration



## Plan for next week

- Single Neuron Model:
- Make histograms of errors across cells (using output files from the respective output directories)
- Format: error_histogram(expDate)
- Summary I-V curve of all ionic currents
- Start with default parameters for those to be fitted across trials, and best parameters for those that were fitted across cells, fit across trials using the "most representative trace" (Of all trials of the same condition, see if most have bursts or LTS or neither. If neither, choose one without LTS with minimum noise. If most have LTSs but not bursts, choose one with LTS but not bursts with minimum noise. If most have bursts, choose one with bursts with minimum noise)
- Compare plots of the geometry before and after fitting
- Compare I-V curves before and after fitting
- Write out the voltage relationships between compartments. Is the cable equation used by NEURON? No. Are the diameters tapered? No.
- Try ball-and-stick model with 2 nodes for the stick instead? Use theory to estimate build parameters (lengths and diameters) and fit only epas \& gpas? If doesn't work, use $3 / 2$ diameter rule?
- What is the appropriate post-stimulus start point for passive fitting? Apply the procedure in Major et al., 1994?
- Should we account for series resistance and capacitive transients?
- Try writing out an explicit objective function
- Investigate where shiftm, shifth, slopem, slopeh should be placed. Should we make T_1/2 and k parameters instead? (Perhaps no, because taum and minf should vary together, see Pinsky-Rinzel model.)
- Make g's and p's linearly vary from soma->dend1->dend2?
- Explore Ed's way of parallelizing Matlab without using a toolbox license.
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)
- Cohen (Analyzing Neural Time Series Data)


## Fitting of Paula's ISI histogram

- Separation of intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs in literature
- Cocatre \& Zilgien, 1992 ("Identification of bursts in spike trains")

1. Use $\operatorname{sqrt}(\mathbf{N})$ or $1.87^{*}(\mathbf{N}-1)^{\wedge} 0.4$ for the number of bins in the ISI histogram
2. Smooth the histogram by a moving average filter of 3 bins
3. Locate the first mode, then locate the first local minimum or the first inflection point right of the first mode as the upper limit of intra-burst ISIs.

- Chen et al., 2009 ("Detection of bursts in neuronal spike trains by the mean inter-spike interval method")

1. Compute the mean of all ISIs
2. Eliminate ISIs greater than the mean
3. Compute a new mean for the remaining ISIs and make it the upper limit of intra-burst ISIs.

- Separation of intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a Gaussian-mixture model

- Separation of intra-burst and inter-burst ISIs using a Gaussian-Exponential mixture model
- Rationale: If bursts occur randomly and follows a Poisson process, the inter-burst ISIs should approximate an exponential distribution. On the other hand, intra-burst ISIs are dependent upon the intrinsic oscillatory rhythm so should more approximate a Gaussian distribution. These can therefore


10/13/2017~

## Updates to minEASE

- These bugs were fixed:
- First or last event not updated when removed
- Keystroke for removing events: Use the Delete key.
- This performs the same action as clicking the Remove button, which would look for the nearest event of the selected class after removing the event.
- The difference is, events will continue to be incremented until an event that has not been marked is found.


## Plan for next week

- Single Neuron Model:
- Make histograms of errors across cells (using output files from the respective output directories)
- Format: error_histogram(expDate)
- Summary I-V curve of all ionic currents
- Start with default parameters for those to be fitted across trials, and best parameters for those that were fitted across cells, fit across trials using the "most representative trace" (Of all trials of the same condition, see if most have bursts or LTS or neither. If neither, choose one without LTS with minimum noise. If most have LTSs but not bursts, choose one with LTS but not bursts with minimum noise. If most have bursts, choose one with bursts with minimum noise)
- Compare plots of the geometry before and after fitting
- Compare I-V curves before and after fitting
- Write out the voltage relationships between compartments. Is the cable equation used by NEURON? No. Are the diameters tapered? No.
- Try ball-and-stick model with 2 nodes for the stick instead? Use theory to estimate build parameters (lengths and diameters) and fit only epas \& gpas? If doesn't work, use $3 / 2$ diameter rule?
- What is the appropriate post-stimulus start point for passive fitting? Apply the procedure in Major et al., 1994?
- Should we account for series resistance and capacitive transients?
- Try writing out an explicit objective function
- Investigate where shiftm, shifth, slopem, slopeh should be placed. Should we make T_1/2 and k parameters instead? (Perhaps no, because taum and minf should vary together, see Pinsky-Rinzel model.)
- Make g's and p's linearly vary from soma->dend1->dend2?
- Explore Ed's way of parallelizing Matlab without using a toolbox license.
- minEASE:
- Fix bug: Cannot add an event if it overlaps with removed events
- Fix bug: Changing class 8 to class 1
- Ability to preliminarily analyze a set of files without clicking through
- Add parameter to exclude too short events (minimum decay time)
- Add parameter to only begin an event from a point a set number of SDs above baseline
- Rank quality of peaks
- Tune auto-detect parameters. Deal with EPSCs mixed in with IPSCs
- Implement online detection
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)
- Cohen (Analyzing Neural Time Series Data)


## 8/13/2017~8/20/2017

## Single Neuron Fitting (continued)

- singleneuronfitting11.m (continued)

F101310

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_F101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationsbips for 20170810T1813_F101310_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
tt_ elationships for 20170810T1813_F101310_aft_


Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#68







## G101310

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_G101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: -elationsloips for 20170810T1813_G101310_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:

Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
-elationships for 20170810T1813_G101310_aft elationships for 20170810T1813_G101310_aft


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#70







H101310

All traces:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: elationshiןs for 20170810T1813_H101310_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_H101310_aft


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:


- Wrote code for fitting across cells:
- Picks a trace from all trials for each cell for each input GABAB IPSC waveform (each pharm x g incr condition pair), with priority given to a trace with bursts, then to a trace with LTSs
- Best parameters for each cell are used for the NEURON parameters that were fitted across trials.
- singleneuronfitting12.m: Fitted across cells using the best parameters from singleneuronfitting11
- The best-fit parameters did not change at all...

All traces for Experiment 20170812T1044_ACROSSCELLS_bef



Simplex run \#1


- singleneuronfitting12.m: Tried again with 12 initial conditions
- The best-fit parameters still did not change, although they did change for the other 11 initial conditions

| Iteration \# | Final tota | Initial tot | shiftmIT | shifthIT | slopemIT | slopehIT | ehIh | shiftmIh |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10.9079 | 10.9079 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -28 | 0 |
| 2 | 14.3939 | 21.0342 | 30 | -30 | 3.85764 | 2.70372 | -24 | 22.5184 |
| 3 | 14.5761 | 15.2728 | 6.49096 | 4.75192 | 0.236758 | 1.3489 | -31.9719 | 23.891 |
| 4 | 14.9019 | 80.3407 | -12.2345 | -29.5255 | 10 | 5.71387 | -24 | -25.0119 |
| 5 | 14.4978 | 99.6839 | 30 | -30 | 7.93893 | 10 | -27.3981 | 1.17606 |
| 6 | 14.5038 | 27.1863 | 30 | -30 | 10 | 1.72402 | -32 | 30 |
| 7 | 15.1559 | 83.0137 | -30 | -30 | 0.3013 | 10 | -32 | -24.0413 |
| 8 | 14.7474 | 71.2523 | 13.0706 | -30 | 10 | 10 | -24 | -5.57825 |
| 9 | 14.5694 | 22.2247 | -30 | -28.7818 | 10 | 1.54045 | -24 | 30 |
| 10 | 14.4095 | 29.0781 | 30 | -30 | 10 | 1.90753 | -29.9668 | -1.277 |
| 11 | 14.3637 | 20.1286 | 24.6568 | -29.4215 | 5.68088 | 2.11613 | -27.9906 | -0.10537 |
| 12 | 13.9354 | 69.5339 | -22.1687 | -18.4358 | 0.224145 | 1.01127 | -31.7432 | 30 |


| shiftmIT_0 | shifthIT_0 | slopemIT_0 | slopehIT_0 | ehlh_0 | shiftmIh_0 | Final error change | Error tole |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -28 | 0 | 0.0660672 | 0.01 |
| -3.84031 | -28.4444 | 1.25697 | 0.742412 | -28.6371 | -10.1799 | 0.00581717 | 0.01 |
| 3.04787 | 12.4889 | 0.381777 | 1.05113 | -24.8564 | 23.7776 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.01 |
| 28.0218 | 2.83393 | 8.81797 | 2.68926 | -26.4182 | -17.0346 | $8.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.01 |
| -16.6804 | 22.2439 | 0.259083 | 6.87419 | -28.0927 | 6.70463 | 0.00579174 | 0.01 |
| 23.5716 | -10.0812 | 4.38994 | 0.121169 | -31.1387 | 5.70312 | 0.00906033 | 0.01 |
| -25.4215 | 16.7951 | 0.753041 | 2.79853 | -24.1761 | 2.30975 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.01 |
| 22.4058 | 28.1124 | 5.47506 | 1.15269 | -30.1382 | -29.3161 | 0.000210587 | 0.01 |
| -29.3776 | 0.112476 | 0.980724 | 0.185208 | -30.8631 | -16.8865 | 0.00572856 | 0.01 |
| 16.2792 | -28.7549 | 1.85053 | 3.14491 | -28.0119 | -16.5122 | 0.00175137 | 0.01 |
| -19.1838 | -28.8315 | 0.844184 | 2.81753 | -28.6384 | -0.874374 | 0.00225614 | 0.01 |
| -20.7502 | 14.403 | 0.336225 | 1.1681 | -31.8834 | 25.1248 | 0.00935629 | 0.01 |

## Plan for next week

- Will be in Maryland Thursday, $8 / 24$ to help my sister move in
- Will be in New York this weekend to meet high school friends and coming back next Monday
- Area paper:
- Talk to Dr. Greg Gerling. Finalize committee members and defense date
- Work on Area Paper outline
- Start writing the Background section
- Continue to edit PPT slides for qualifying exam
- Single Neuron Model:
- Make histograms of errors across cells (using output files from the respective output directories)
- Format: error_histogram(expDate)
- Transient I-V curve for Ih
- Summary I-V curve of all ionic currents
- Make plots of the geometry before and after fitting
- Start with default parameters for those to be fitted across trials, and best parameters for those that were fitted across cells, fit across trials using the "most representative trace" (Of all trials of the same condition, see if most have bursts or LTS or neither. If neither, choose one without LTS with minimum noise. If most have LTSs but not bursts, choose one with LTS but not bursts with minimum noise. If most have bursts, choose one with bursts with minimum noise)
- Write out the voltage relationships between compartments. Is the cable equation used by NEURON? No. Are the diameters tapered? No.
- Try ball-and-stick model with 2 nodes for the stick instead? Use theory to estimate build parameters (lengths and diameters) and fit only epas \& gpas? If doesn't work, use $3 / 2$ diameter rule?
- What is the appropriate post-stimulus start point for passive fitting? Apply the procedure in Major et al., 1994?
- Should we account for series resistance and capacitive transients?
- Try writing out an explicit objective function
- Investigate where shiftm, shifth, slopem, slopeh should be placed. Should we make T_1/2 and k parameters instead? (Perhaps no, because taum and minf should vary together, see Pinsky-Rinzel model.)
- Make g's and p's linearly vary from soma->dend1->dend2?
- Explore Ed's way of parallelizing Matlab without using a toolbox license.
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)


## 8/7/2017~8/8/2017

## minEASE (updates)

- Event removal is implemented as changing to class 8 (deleted).
- Event addition is now implemented in two steps:

1. Add an event of class 8 (deleted)
2. Change the event from class 8 to class to add

- Removed events (class 8) now have NaNs for IEls, ISIs and decay times. And computations of IEls, ISIs for other events now skips events of class 8 when looking for the next event.
- Now recomputes IEls, ISIs and decay times, both for the event in question and for the previous event, after an event is added (changed from class 8) or removed (changed to class 8).



## 8/7/2017~8/13/2017

## Single Neuron Fitting (continued)

- Activation/inactivation curves of IT
- Destexhe old: shiftmIT $=2$, shifthIT $=0$, slopemIT $=1$, slopehIT $=1$


- Destexhe 1998: shiftmIT = 1, shifthIT = 1, slopemIT =1, slopehIT =1


- Christine/SingleNeuronFitting5:
shiftmIT $=-13.8$, shifthIT $=-4.8$, slopemIT $=1.4$, slopehIT $=1$


- Activation/inactivation curves of $\mathbf{I h}$
- Destexhe 1998: shiftmlh $=0$

- Christine/SingleNeuronFitting5: shiftmlh $=11.4$


- I-V curves for IT \& Ih
- Destexhe old: pcabarlT = .2e-3, shiftmIT = 2, shifthIT = 0, slopemIT =1, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=2.2 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmlh $=0$

- Destexhe 1998: pcabarIT = .2e-3, shiftmIT = 1, shifthIT = 1, slopemIT = 1, slopehIT = 1, ghbarlh = 2.2e-5, shiftmIh = 0


- Christine_soma: pcabarlT =5e-6, shiftmIT =-13.8, shifthIT =-4.8, slopemIT = 1.4 , slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=1.1 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmIh $=11.4$


- All I-V curves
- Destexhe old: pcabarlT =.2e-3, shiftmIT = 2, shifthIT = 0, slopemIT = 1, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=2.2 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmlh $=0$

- Destexhe 1998: pcabarlT =.2e-3, shiftmIT = 1, shifthIT = 1, slopemIT =1, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=2.2 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmlh $=0$

- Christine_soma: pcabarIT $=5 e-6$, shiftmIT $=-13.8$, shifthIT $=-4.8$, slopemIT $=$ 1.4 , slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=1.1 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmIh $=11.4$


- Christine_dend1: pcabarlT $=8.91 \mathrm{e}-6$, shiftmIT $=\mathbf{- 1 3 . 8}$, shifthIT $=\mathbf{- 4 . 8}$, slopemIT $=1.4$, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=1.1 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmlh $=11.4$

- Christine_dend2: pcabarlT $=3.98 \mathrm{e}-6$, shiftmIT $=-13.8$, shifthIT $=-4.8$, slopemIT $=1.4$, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=1.1 \mathrm{e}-5$, shiftmlh $=11.4$

- SingleNeuronFitting5_soma: pcabarlT = 2.8216e-7, shiftmIT = -13.8, shifthIT = -4.8 , slopemIT $=1.4$, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=3.0206 \mathrm{e}-7$, shiftmlh $=11.4$


- SingleNeuronFitting5_dend1: pcabarIT = 1.8440e-6, shiftmIT = -13.8, shifthIT = -4.8 , slopemIT $=1.4$, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=1.0226 e-6$, shiftmlh $=11.4$

- SingleNeuronFitting5_dend2: pcabarIT = 5.6634e-5, shiftmIT =-13.8, shifthIT = -4.8 , slopemIT $=1.4$, slopehIT $=1$, ghbarlh $=1.0226 \mathrm{e}-6$, shiftmlh $=11.4$


- Created compare_and_plot_across_IC.m and compare_and_plot_across_IC2.m for compare across different initial sets of NEURON parameters
- Created compare_neuronparams.m for comparing sets of parameters that:
- Determine which parameters were changed
- Plot graphs according to what parameters were changed
- Usage: compare_neuronparams(paramNames, paramValues, suffices)
- optimizer_4compgabab.m now plots activation/inactivation, I-V curves for initial and final sets of NEURON parameters after optimization
- Reran singleneuronfitting10.m for Destexhe default after the following changes:
- ek: -97-> -100 mV
- shiftmIT: -2 -> $1 \mathbf{m V}$
- shiftmlh: 0 -> $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ m V}$
- Before and after optimization:

- Steady-state I-V curves for soma:


## Before:



## After:



- Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:

Before:


## After:



- Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:


## Before:



## After:



- singleneuronfitting11.m: Changed eh from - 43 mV to $\mathbf{- 2 8} \mathbf{~ m V}$ and bound it by $\mathbf{- 2 4 \sim} \mathbf{- 3 2}$ mV . (Based on $[\mathrm{Na}+]$ out $=127.25 \mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{Na}+]$ in $=4.5 \mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{K}+]$ out $=2.5 \mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{K}+]$ in $=113$ mM \& celsius $=33 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{C}$, the GHK voltage equation yields $-24 \sim-32 \mathrm{mV}$ )
- Fitted all $\mathbf{3 6}$ cells on fishfish, using one arbitrary trace per input condition
- Before optimization (starting with the same default parameters):

All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_D091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_B091810_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E091710_bef

elationshöp's for 20170810T1813_A092110_bef_

## - After optimization:

D091710

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_D091710_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationsibips for 20170810T1813_D091710_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1
elationship sh for 20170810T1813_D091710_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_D091710_aft


Passive fitting history:



## Active fitting history:





E091710
All traces:
Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:

All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E091710_aft

-elationshhips for 20170810T1813_E091710_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:


Passive fitting history:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationship̉s for 20170810T1813_E091710_aft_


Active fitting history:


## B091810

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_B091810_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationsbips for 20170810T1813_B091810_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationshïs for 20170810T1813_B091810_aft_ elationshyips for 20170810T1813_B091810_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#6






D091810

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_D091810_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationships for 20170810T1813_D091810_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: elationships for 20170810T1813_D091810_aft_ elationshißs for 20170810T1813_D091810_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#8







## E091810

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E091810_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: elationshjips for 20170810T1813_E091810_aft_ elationsships for 20170810T1813_E091810_aft_


Passive fitting history:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:

Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#10







## F091810

All traces:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationship’s for 20170810T1813_F091810_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_F091810_aft_


Passive fitting history:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:

Active fitting history:


A092110

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_A092110_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationships for 20170810T1813_A092110_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: elationships for 20170810T1813_A092110_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_A092110_aft_


## Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#14







C092110

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_C092110_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationsbips for 20170810T1813_C092110_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1 elationshḃps for 20170810T1813_C092110_aft_elationshipps for 20170810T1813_C092110_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#16







B092710

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_B092710_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationships for 20170810T1813_B092710_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_B092710_aft_elationships for 20170810T1813_B092710_aft_


Passive fitting history:
Simplex run \#17



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#18







C092710
All traces:

All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_C092710_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: -elationshhips for 20170810T1813_C092710_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_C092710_aft_elationshipps for 20170810T1813_C092710_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#20






E092710

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E092710_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationships for 20170810T1813_E092710_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1
Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationshoips for 20170810T1813_E092710_aft_elationsḩips for 20170810T1813_E092710_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#22







A092810

All traces:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationships for 20170810T1813_A092810_aft_ elationship̀s for 20170810T1813_A092810_aft_


Passive fitting history:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:


Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#24







C092810
All traces:
Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationships for 20170810T1813_C092810_aft_elationships for 20170810T1813_C092810_aft_
-elaționsibips for 20170810T1813_C092810_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#26







## K092810

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_K092810_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: -elationsloips for 20170810T1813_K092810_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationships for 20170810T1813_K092810_aft_ elationship̧s for 20170810T1813_K092810_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#28







A092910
All traces:

All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_A092910_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
-elationsloips for 20170810T1813_A092910_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_A092910_aft_ elationshipis for 20170810T1813_A092910_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#30







C092910
All traces:
Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:

All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_C092910_aft

relationships for 20170810T1813_C092910_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_C092910_aft_elationships for 20170810T1813_C092910_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#32







D092910

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_D092910_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: -elatationshbips for 20170810T1813_D092910_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: elationships for 20170810T1813_D092910_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_D092910_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#34







E092910

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E092910_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationshi'ps for 20170810T1813_E092910_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_E092910_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_E092910_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#36







## B100110

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_B100110_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:

Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationships for 20170810T1813_B100110_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_B100110_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:


## E100110

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E100110_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationsbips for 20170810T1813_E100110_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2: elationships for 20170810T1813_E100110_aft_ elationship̉s for 20170810T1813_E100110_aft_


## Passive fitting history:




Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#40







A100810

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_A100810_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationslhiips for 20170810T1813_A100810_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_A100810_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_A100810_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#42







## B100810

All traces:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: -elationsibips for 20170810T1813_B100810_aft.


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationstrips for 20170810T1813_B100810_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_B100810_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#44







## D100810

All traces:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationsbips for 20170810T1813_D100810_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:


Passive fitting history:


## Active fitting history:



A101210
All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_A101210_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationships for 20170810T1813_A101210_aft elationshipjs for 20170810T1813_A101210_aft_


Passive fitting history:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationsbips for 20170810T1813_A101210_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:

## Active fitting history:

Simplex run \#48







## C101210

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_C101210_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationships for 20170810T1813_C101210_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: elationships for 20170810T1813_C101210_aft_ elationshoips for 20170810T1813_C101210_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:


## D101210

All traces:
Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: relationships for 20170810T1813_D101210_aft

Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationships for 20170810T1813_D101210_aft_elationsshups for 20170810T1813_D101210_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:


## E101210

All traces:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:


Passive fitting history:


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
-elationsbips for 20170810T1813_E101210_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
elationship̉s for 20170810T1813_E101210_aft_


Active fitting history:


## F101210

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_F101210_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationsbips for 20170810T1813_F101210_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationshoips for 20170810T1813_F101210_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_F101210_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#56







## I101210

All traces
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_I101210_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: relationshilps for 20170810T1813_I101210_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationshbips for 20170810T1813_I101210_aft_، relationships for 20170810T1813_I101210_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#58







## M101210

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_M101210_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:


Steady-state I-V curves for dend1: Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#60







## B101310

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_B101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationsbips for 20170810T1813_B101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationships for 20170810T1813_B101310_aft_ elationships for 20170810T1813_B101310_aft_


Passive fitting history:



## Active fitting history:

Simplex run \#62







## D101310

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_D101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0: elationships for 20170810T1813_D101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
elationshipss for 20170810T1813_D101310_aft_ elationshipss for 20170810T1813_D101310_aft_


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#64







## E101310

All traces:
All traces for Experiment 20170810T1813_E101310_aft


Steady-state I-V curves for soma, dend0:
elationsbips for 20170810T1813_E101310_aft_


Steady-state I-V curves for dend2:
Steady-state I-V curves for dend1:
relationships for 20170810T1813_E101310_aft relationships for 20170810T1813_E101310_aft


Passive fitting history:



Active fitting history:
Simplex run \#66







## Plan for next week

- Area paper:
- Send out emails to committee members about defense date
- Work on Area Paper outline
- Browse recent literature on GABA-B receptors \& HCN channels
- Prepare PPT slides for qualifying exam
- Single Neuron Model:
- Try different initial conditions and fit across cells again
- Make plots of the geometry before and after fitting
- Start with default parameters for those to be fitted across trials, and best parameters for those that were fitted across cells, fit across trials using the "most representative trace" (Of all trials of the same condition, see if most have bursts or LTS or neither. If neither, choose one without LTS with minimum noise. If most have LTSs but not bursts, choose one with LTS but not bursts with minimum noise. If most have bursts, choose one with bursts with minimum noise)
- Write out the voltage relationships between compartments. Is the cable equation used by NEURON? No. Are the diameters tapered? No.
- Try ball-and-stick model with 2 nodes for the stick instead? Use theory to estimate build parameters and fit only epas \& gpas?
- Try writing out an explicit objective function
- Investigate where shiftm, shifth, slopem, slopeh should be placed. Should we make T_1/2 and k parameters instead? (Perhaps no, because taum and minf should vary together, see Pinsky-Rinzel model.)
- Make g's and p's linearly vary from soma->dend1->dend2?
- Explore Ed's way of parallelizing Matlab without using a toolbox license.
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)


## 7/31/2017

## minEASE (updates)

- Now skips to the next unchecked event when using keyboard to increment/decrement event number
- Now reads .mat files that contain a data matrix.
- Added DataType ('abf' or 'mat') as an optional parameter-value pair argument. If no data type is provided, the program first searches for ABF files in the data subdirectory, then searches for MAT files if abf files don't exist.
- Added SiMs (the sampling interval in ms ) as an optional parameter-value pair argument. The default SiMs for mat files is $\mathbf{0 . 1} \mathbf{~ m s}$. If ABF files are read, any user-defined SiMs is overridden by what is stored in the file.
- A function abf2mat.m under Adams_Functions is updated to save data matrices directly and to accept a directory as an argument (all abf files in the directory will be converted in this case).
- Added sweepsToAnalyze as an input parameter (a column in the input Excel file) so that the user can select the sweeps they want from an ABF file if there are multiple sweeps per file.
- Now makes a subdirectory in the output directory for each file if there are multiple sweeps per file


## 7/30/2017~8/6/2017

## Details of our current TC neuron model

- IT.mod
- T-type calcium current responsible for low-threshold spikes (LTS)
- History: Modified from ITGHK.mod of the Destexhe et al 1998a model, based on the model of Huguenard \& McCormick, J Neurophysiol 68: 1373-1383, 1992.
- Current-voltage relationship: Described by Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equations.
- Gating: Uses 2 activation gates and 1 inactivation gate ( $\mathbf{m}^{2} h$ ). Voltage dependence and kinetics of activation/inactivation at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from voltage-clamp data (whole cell patch clamp) of Huguenard \& Prince, J. Neurosci. 12: 3804-3817, 1992. Updated to reflect values in Destexhe et al, 1998.
- The activation and inactivation functions can be empirically corrected to account for the contamination of inactivation, to compensate for screening charge, etc.
The correction terms are denoted shiftm and shifth and cause depolarizing (rightward) shifts.
- The steepness of the activation and inactivation functions can be varied with the parameters slopem and slopeh, respectively.
- Suffix: "IT"
- Input/Output: reads cai [mM] \& cao [mM], writes ica [mA/cm²]
- Parameters - GLOBAL variables whose values are fixed:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| qm | $Q_{10}$ for activation [1] | $3.6^{*}$ | global |
| qh | $Q_{10}$ for inactivation [1] | $2.5^{*}$ | global |

■ * $\mathrm{Q}_{10} \mathrm{~s}$ are from Coulter et al., J Physiol 414: 587, 1989. However,
Destexhe et al 1998 used 2.5 in the simulations.

- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pcabar | default maximum Ca++ permeability [cm/s] | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-3$ | range |
| shiftm | depolarizing shift of activation curve [mV] | $1^{*}$ | range |
| shifth | depolarizing shift of inactivation curve [mV] | $1^{*}$ | range |
| slopem | scaling factor for slope of activation curve [mV] | 1 | range |
| slopeh | scaling factor for slope of inactivation curve $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | 1 | range |

- *Default shifts corresponds to 2 mM ext Ca++ (compensates for screening charge) and was used by Destexhe et al 1998.
- Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | membrane potential $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |
| celsius | temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | N/A | global |
| cai | calcium concentration inside the cell $[\mathrm{mM}]$ | N/A | range |
| cao | calcium concentration outside the cell $[\mathrm{mM}]$ | N/A | range |

- Assigned variables - GLOBAL variables that are assigned in the INITIAL block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phim | temperature adjustment to taum [1] | qm, celsius | global |
| phih | temperature adjustment to tauh [1] | qh, celsius | global |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the INITIAL \& DERIVATIVE blocks:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| minf | steady state value of activation gating variable [1] | v, shiftm, <br> slopem | range |
| hinf | steady state value of inactivation gating variable [1] | $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{shifth} slopeh$, | range |
| taum | time constant for activation [ms] | v, shiftm, <br> slopem, phim | range |
| tauh | time constant for inactivation [ms] | v, shifth, slopeh, <br> phih | range |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the BREAKPOINT block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ica | calcium current generated $\left[\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | pcabar, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{v}$, <br> cai, cao | range |

- States:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{m}$ | activation gating variable [1] | minf, taum | minf |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | inactivation gating variable [1] | hinf, tauh | hinf |

- Equations:
- First, update gating variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d m}{d t} & =\frac{m_{\infty}-m}{\tau_{m}} \\
\frac{d h}{d t} & =\frac{h_{\infty}-h}{\tau_{h}} \\
m_{\infty} & =\frac{1}{1+e^{\left(V+57-s h i f t_{m}\right) /\left(-6.2 s l o p e_{m}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here, $\mathbf{V}_{1 / 2}$ is assumed to be $\mathbf{- 5 7} \mathbf{~ m V}$, but can be modified by $\boldsymbol{s h i f t}_{m}$, the slope -6.2 is modified by slope ${ }_{m}$ )

$$
h_{\infty}=\frac{1}{1+e^{\left(V+81-\text { shift }_{h}\right) /\left(4.0 \text { slope }_{h}\right)}}
$$

(Here, $\mathbf{V}_{1 / 2}$ is assumed to be $\mathbf{- 8 1} \mathbf{~ m V}$, but can be modified by shift $_{h}$, the slope 4.0 is modified by slope ${ }_{h}$ )



$$
\tau_{m}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{m} \text { slope }_{m}}(0.612+
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\left.e^{\left(V+132-s h i f t_{m}\right) /-16.7}+e^{\left(V+16.8-s h i f t_{m}\right) / 18.2}\right)}
$$

For $V-$ shift $_{h}<-80 \mathrm{mV}$,

$$
\tau_{h}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{h} s l o p e_{h}} e^{\left(V+467-s h i f t_{h}\right) / 66.6}
$$

For $V-$ shift $_{h}>=-80 \mathrm{mV}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \tau_{h}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{h} \text { slope }_{h}}\left(28+e^{\left(V+22-\text { shift }_{h}\right) /(-10.5)}\right) \\
& \Phi_{m}=Q_{10, m}^{(T-23) / 10} \\
& \text { In all simulations, } \mathrm{Q}_{10, \mathrm{~m}}=3.6 . \text { Since } \mathrm{T}=33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 / \text { Phi }_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathbf{0 . 2 7 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Phi_{h}=Q_{10, h}^{(T-23) / 10}$
In all simulations, $Q_{10, \mathrm{~h}}=\mathbf{2 . 5}$. Since $\mathrm{T}=33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 / \mathrm{Phi}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathbf{0 . 4 0 0}$.

- Next, update currents:
$I_{\mathrm{T}}=I_{\mathrm{Ca}}=\bar{P}_{\mathrm{Ca}} m^{2} h G\left(V,[\mathrm{Ca}]_{o},[\mathrm{Ca}]_{i}\right)$
$G\left(V,[\mathrm{Ca}]_{o},[\mathrm{Ca}]_{i}\right)=\frac{Z^{2} F^{2} V}{R T} \frac{[\mathrm{Ca}]_{i}-[\mathrm{Ca}]_{o} e^{-Z F V / R T}}{1-e^{-Z F V / R T}}$
where $Z=2, T$ is in $[K], V$ is in $[V]$. This is based on the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz flux equation

- Procedures and functions:

| Name \& Arguments | Description | Called by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| evaluate_fct(v(mV)) | Update minf, hinf, taum, tauh based on <br> current voltage | INITIAL, <br> DERIVATIVE |
| ghk(v(mV), ci(mM), <br> co(mM) $)(.001$ coul/cm3) | Computes the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz <br> flux based on current voltage, <br> concentration inside the cell, <br> concentration outside the cell | BREAKPOINT, <br> nongat() |
| efun(z) | $\mathrm{z} /(\exp (z)-1)$ with Taylor approximation <br> when $\|\mathrm{z}\|<1 \mathrm{e}-4, \mathrm{z}$ is a floating point <br> number (uses NMODL intrinsic function <br> fabs) | ghk() |
| nongat(v,cai,cao) | Non-gated version of the calcium current <br> nongat = pcabar * ghk(v, cai, cao) | NONE |

- ghk has the structure:
$(.001)^{*} 2^{*}$ FARADAY* ${ }^{*}\left(\right.$ ci*efun $^{*}(-z)-$ co*efun $\left.^{*}(z)\right)$
where
$\operatorname{efun}(z)=z /(\exp (z)-1)$
and
$\mathrm{z}=(1 \mathrm{e}-3[\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{mV}])^{*} 2^{*}$ FARADAY*V/(R*(celsius+273.15))
For $|z|<1 e-4$, the 1 st order Taylor approximation
$z /(\exp (z)-1) \sim 1-z / 2$ is used
- Ih.mod
- Hyperpolarization-activated nonspecific cationic current
- History: Modified from Ih.mod of Amarillo et al., J Neurophysiol, 2014. Based on the model of Huguenard \& McCormick, J Neurophysiol 68: 1373-1383, 1992, with updated kinetics from Santoro et al., 2000 \& Amarillo et al., 2014.
- Current-voltage relationship: Described by Ohm's Law.
- Gating: Uses 1 activation gate (m). Voltage dependence and kinetics of activation at $34{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from Amarillo et al., 2014. Note: Huguenard \& McCormick originally had $V_{1 / 2}=-75 \mathrm{mV}$ and $\mathrm{k}=5.5 \mathrm{mV}$. Santoro et al. had $\mathrm{V}_{1 / 2}=-82 \mathrm{mV}$
- Permeability ratio: $\mathrm{K}^{+}: \mathrm{Na}^{+}$is about 3:1~4:1, Santoro et al., 1999.
- Approximate reversal potential: Based on [Na+]out $=127.25 \mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{Na}+] \mathrm{in}=4.5$ $\mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{K}+]$ out $=2.5 \mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{K}+]$ in $=113 \mathrm{mM}$ \& celsius $=33 \mathrm{degC}$, the GHK voltage equation yields $-24 \sim-32 \mathrm{mV}$. Santoro et al., 1999 had -35 mV . Amarillo et al., 2014 used -43 mV.
- Identity: HCN channels (Hyperpolarization-activated cyclic-nucleotide dependent cation-nonspecific channels). mHCN2 \& mHCN4 found in thalamocortical relay neurons. See Santoro et al., 2000.
- Suffix: "Ih"
- Input/Output: writes in $\left[\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ as a nonspecific current
- Parameters - GLOBAL variables whose values are fixed:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| qm | $\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ for activation [1] | $4.0^{*}$ | global |

-     * $\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ is from Santoro \& Tibbs, 1999, based on values of 3.13 (sheep Purkinje fibers), 4.5 (rat CA1 pyramidal neurons), 5 (guinea pig CA1 pyramidal neurons)
- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ghbar | default maximum conductance of $\mathrm{Ih}\left[\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $2.2 \mathrm{e}-5$ | range |
| eh | reversal potential of $\mathrm{Ih}[\mathrm{mV}]$ | -43 | range |
| shiftm | depolarizing shift of activation curve $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | 0 | range |

$\circ$ Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | membrane potential $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |
| celsius | temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | N/A | global |

- Assigned variables - GLOBAL variables that are assigned in the INITIAL block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phim | temperature adjustment to taum [1] | qm, celsius | global |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the INITIAL \&

DERIVATIVE blocks:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| minf | steady state value of activation gating variable [1] | v, shiftm | range |
| taum | time constant for activation [ms] | v, shiftm, phim | range |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the BREAKPOINT block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ih | H current generated $[\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm} 2]$ | ghbar, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{v}$, eh | range |

- States:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{m}$ | activation gating variable [1] | minf, taum | minf |

- Equations:
- First, update gating variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d m}{d t} & =\frac{m_{\infty}-m}{\tau_{m}} \\
m_{\infty} & =\frac{1}{1+e^{\left(V+82-s h i f t_{m}\right) / 5.5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here, $\mathbf{V}_{1 / 2}$ is assumed to be $\mathbf{- 8 2} \mathbf{~ m V}$, but can be modified by $\boldsymbol{s h i f t}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{m}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{m}\left(0.0008+\left(3.5 \times 10^{-6}\right) e^{-0.05787\left(V-\text { shift }_{m}\right)}+e^{-1.87+0.0701\left(V-s h i f t_{m}\right)}\right)} \\
& \Phi_{m}=Q_{10, m}^{(T-34) / 10} \\
& \text { In all simulations, } \mathrm{Q}_{10, \mathrm{~m}}=4.0 \text {. Since } \mathrm{T}=33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 / \mathrm{Phi}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathbf{0 . 8 7 1} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Next, update currents:

$$
I_{\mathrm{h}}=\bar{g}_{\mathrm{h}} m\left(V-E_{\mathrm{h}}\right)
$$



- Procedures and functions:

| Name \& Arguments | Description | Called by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| settables $(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{mV}))$ | Update minf, taum based on current <br> voltage | INITIAL, <br> DERIVATIVE |

- IA.mod
- Fast transient potassium current
- History: Modified from IA.mod of Amarillo et al., J Neurophysiol, 2014, based on the model of Huguenard \& McCormick, J Neurophysiol 68: 1373-1383, 1992.
- Current-voltage relationship: Described by Ohm's Law.
- Gating: Uses 4 activation gates and 1 inactivation gate $\left(\mathbf{m}^{4} \mathbf{h}\right)$. There are two types of activation gates, each paired with a type of inactivation gates. The ratio of contribution is 3:2.
- Voltage dependence and kinetics of activation/inactivation at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from voltage-clamp data (whole cell patch clamp) of Huguenard \& Prince, J. Neurosci. 12: 3804-3817, 1992.
- Suffix: "IA"
- Input/Output: reads ek [mV], writes ik [mA/cm ${ }^{2}$ ]
- Parameters - GLOBAL variables whose values are fixed:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| q10 | $\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ for both activation and inactivation [1] | $2.8^{*}$ | global |

- *from Huguenard et al, 1991.
- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gkbar | default maximum conductance of IA $\left[\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $5.5 \mathrm{e}-3$ | range |

- Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | membrane potential $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |
| celsius | temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | N/A | global |
| ek | reversal potential of potassium $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |

- Assigned variables - GLOBAL variables that are assigned in the INITIAL block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phi | temperature adjustment to taum \& tauh [1] | q10, celsius | global |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the INITIAL \& DERIVATIVE blocks:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m1inf | steady state value of activation gating variable \#1 <br> [1] | v | range |
| m2inf | steady state value of activation gating variable \#2 <br> $[1]$ | v | range |
| hinf | steady state value of inactivation gating variables <br> $[1]$ | v | range |
| taum | time constant for activation gating variables [ms] | v, phi | range |
| tauh1 | time constant for inactivation gating variable \#1 <br> [ms] | v, phi | range |


| tauh2 | time constant for inactivation gating variable \#2 <br> $[\mathrm{ms}]$ | v, phi | range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the BREAKPOINT block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ik | potassium current generated $\left[\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | gkbar, m1, m2, <br> h1, h2, ek, v | range |


| States: |  | Dependent <br> Parameters | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{m 1}$ | Description | m1inf, taum | m1inf |
| $\mathbf{m 2}$ | activation gating variable \#1 [1] | m2inf, taum | m2inf |
| h1 | inactivation gating variable \#1 [1] | hinf, tauh1 | hinf |
| h2 | inactivation gating variable \#2 [1] | hinf, tauh2 | hinf |

Equations:

- First, update gating variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d m_{1}}{d t} & =\frac{m_{1, \infty}-m_{1}}{\tau_{m}} \\
\frac{d m_{2}}{d t} & =\frac{m_{2, \infty}-m_{2}}{\tau_{m}} \\
\frac{d h_{1}}{d t} & =\frac{h_{\infty}-h_{1}}{\tau_{h_{1}}} \\
\frac{d h_{2}}{d t} & =\frac{h_{\infty}-h_{2}}{\tau_{h_{2}}} \\
m_{1, \infty} & =\frac{1}{1+e^{(V+60) /(-8.5)}} \\
m_{2, \infty} & =\frac{1}{1+e^{(V+36) /(-20)}} \\
h_{\infty} & =\frac{1}{1+e^{(V+78) / 6.0}}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\tau_{m}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{m}}\left(0.37+\frac{1.0}{e^{(V+35.8) / 19.7}+e^{(V+79.7) /(-12.7)}}\right.
$$

For $V<-63 \mathrm{mV}$,

$$
\tau_{h_{1}}=\frac{1}{\Phi\left(e^{(V+46) / 5.0}+e^{(V+238) /(-37.5)}\right)}
$$

For $V \geq-63 \mathrm{mV}$,

$$
\tau_{h_{1}}=\frac{19}{\Phi}
$$

For $V<-73 \mathrm{mV}$,

$$
\tau_{h_{2}}=\frac{1}{\Phi\left(e^{(V+46) / 5.0}+e^{(V+238) /(-37.5)}\right)}
$$

For $V \geq-73 \mathrm{mV}$,

$$
\tau_{h_{2}}=\frac{60}{\Phi}
$$

$$
\Phi_{m}=Q_{10, m}^{(T-23) / 10}
$$

In all simulations, $\mathrm{Q}_{10, \mathrm{~m}}=2.8$. Since $\mathrm{T}=33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 / \mathrm{Phi}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathbf{0 . 3 5 7}$.

- Next, update currents:
$I_{\mathrm{A}}=\bar{g}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(0.6 m_{1}^{4} h_{1}+0.4 m_{2}^{4} h_{2}\right)\left(V-E_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$


## I-V relationship of IA



- Procedures and functions:

| Name \& Arguments | Description | Called by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| settables $(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{mV}))$ | Update m1inf, m2inf, hinf, taum, tauh1, <br> tauh2 based on current voltage | INITIAL, <br> DERIVATIVE |

- IKir.mod
- Potassium strong inward rectifier current
- History: Modified from IKir.mod of Amarillo et al., J Neurophysiol, 2014.
- Current-voltage relationship: Described by Ohm's Law..
- Gating: Uses an instantaneous activation gate. Voltage dependence from Amarillo et al., J Neurophysiol, 2014.
- Suffix: "IKir"
- Input/Output: reads ek [mV], writes ik [mA/cm²]
- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gkbar | default maximum conductance of IKir $\left[\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-5$ | range |

- Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | membrane potential $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |
| ek | reversal potential of potassium [mV] | N/A | range |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the BREAKPOINT block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| minf | steady state value of activation gating variable [1] | v | range |
| ik | potassium current generated $\left[\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | gkbar, minf, ek, <br> v | range |

- Equations:
- Update gating variable:

$$
m_{\infty}=\frac{1}{1+e^{(V+97.9) / 9.7}}
$$



- Update current:

$$
I_{\mathrm{Kir}}=\bar{g}_{\mathrm{K}} m_{\infty}\left(V-E_{\mathrm{K}}\right)
$$



- INaP.mod
- Persistent sodium current
- History: Modified from INaP.mod of Amarillo et al., J Neurophysiol, 2014. Based on the model by Wu et al, 2005 on mesencephalic trigeminal sensory neurons.
- Current-voltage relationship: Described by Ohm's Law.
- Gating: Uses 1 activation gate and 1 inactivation gate (mh). The activation is instantaneous whereas the inactivation is slow and time-dependent. Voltage dependence and kinetics of activation/inactivation at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from voltage-clamp data (whole cell patch clamp) of Wu et al, 2005.
- Suffix: "INaP"
- Input/Output: reads ena [mV], writes ina [mA/cm²]
- Parameters - GLOBAL variables whose values are fixed:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| qh | $Q_{10}$ for inactivation [1] | $3^{*}$ | global |

-     * $\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ is assumed by Amarillo et al
- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gnabar | default maximum conductance of $\mathrm{INaP}\left[\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $5.5 \mathrm{e}-6$ | range |

- Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | membrane potential $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |
| celsius | temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | N/A | global |
| ena | reversal potential of sodium $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |

- Assigned variables - GLOBAL variables that are assigned in the INITIAL block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phih | temperature adjustment to tauh [1] | qh, celsius | global |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the INITIAL \& DERIVATIVE blocks:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| minf | steady state value of activation gating variable [1] | v | range |
| hinf | steady state value of activation gating variable [1] | v | range |
| tauh | time constant for inactivation [ms] | v, phih | range |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the BREAKPOINT block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ina | sodium current generated [mA/cm2] | gnabar, minf, h, <br> v, ena | range |


| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | inactivation gating variable [1] | hinf, tauh | hinf |

- Equations:
- First, update gating variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\infty}=\frac{1}{1+e^{(V+57.9) /(-6.4)}} \\
& \frac{d h}{d t}=\frac{h_{\infty}-h}{\tau_{h}} \\
& h_{\infty}=\frac{1}{1+e^{(V+58.7) / 14.2}}
\end{aligned}
$$



$\tau_{h}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{h}}\left(1000+\frac{10000}{1+e^{(V+60) / 10}}\right)$
$\Phi_{h}=Q_{10, h}^{(T-23) / 10}$
In all simulations, $\mathrm{Q}_{10, \mathrm{~m}}=3$. Since $\mathrm{T}=33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 /$ Phi $_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathbf{0 . 3 3 3}$.

- Next, update currents:
$I_{\mathrm{NaP}}=\bar{g}_{\mathrm{Na}} m_{\infty} h\left(V-E_{\mathrm{Na}}\right)$

- Procedures and functions:

| Name \& Arguments | Description | Called by |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| setvalues $(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{mV}))$ | Update minf, hinf, tauh based on current <br> voltage | INITIAL, <br> DERIVATIVE |

- cadecay.mod
- Fast mechanism for submembranal Ca++ concentration (cai)
- Suffix: "cad" (same as calcium pump)
- Input/Output: reads ica ([mA/cm²]) \& cai, writes cai
- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| depth | Depth of the shell just beneath the membrane $[\mu \mathrm{m}]$ | 0.1 | range |
| cainf | Equilibrium concentration of calcium $[\mathrm{mM}]$ | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-4$ | range |


| taur | Time constant of calcium extrusion, must be fast) [ms] | $24^{*}$ | range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

■ *Sohal \& Huguenard 2003 (@ 34 degC). Note: Destexhe used 5 ms , Amarillo used 1 ms .

- Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ica | calcium current [mA/cm2] | N/A | range |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the DERIVATIVE block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| drive_ch <br> annel | calcium flux due to ica $[\mathrm{mM} / \mathrm{ms}]$ | ica, depth | range |

States \& initialization:

| Name | Description | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cai | submembranal Ca++ concentration [mM] | cainf |

- Equations:
- Differential equation:

$$
\frac{d[\mathrm{Ca}]_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{I_{\mathrm{Ca}}}{2 F d}+\frac{\left([\mathrm{Ca}]_{\infty}-[\mathrm{Ca}]_{i}\right)}{\tau_{r}} \text { (using implicit integration) }
$$

where $F$ is Faraday's constant, $d$ is the depth of the shell just beneath the membrane.

- gabab_m3ha.mod
- Simple GABA-B receptor
- Point Process: "gabab"
- Input/Output: writes a nonspecific current i
- Parameters - GLOBAL variables whose values are fixed:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{p}$ | power of rising phase [1] | 8 | global |
| q10 | Q10 for all phases [1] | $2.1^{*}$ | global |

- *Q10 is from Otis et al, 1993. However, not used here since Christine did everything at 33 degC
- Parameters - RANGE variables whose values are specified in hoc:

| Name | Description | Default <br> value | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Erev | Reversal potential [mV] | -115 | range |
| amp | maximum amplitude of gabab conductance [uS] | $15.92^{*}$ | range |
| Trise | rise time constant [ms] | $52^{*}$ | range |
| TfallFast | fast decay time constant [ms] | $140.02^{*}$ | range |
| TfallSlow | slow decay time constant [ms] | $1073^{*}$ | range |
| w | weight of fast decay [1] | $0.952^{*}$ | range |
| Ninputs | number of input streams [1] | 1 | range |

- *these are changed across pharmacological conditions
- Assigned variables - Variables that are assigned outside the mod file:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | postsynaptic membrane potential $[\mathrm{mV}]$ | N/A | range |
| celsius | temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | N/A | global |

- Assigned variables - GLOBAL variables that are assigned in the INITIAL block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phi | temperature adjustment for rates [1] | q10, celsius | global |

- Assigned variables - RANGE variables that are assigned in the BREAKPOINT block:

| Name | Description | Dependent <br> Parameters | Range/ <br> global |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | conductance generated [uS] | Ron, RoffFast, <br> RoffSlow, w, p, <br> amp | range |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | current generated [nA] | $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{v}$, Erev | range |

- States:

| Name | Description | Dependent Parameters | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Ron | slow decay variable [1] | Trise, phi, weight, Ninputs | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RoffSlow | fast decay variable [1] | TfallFast, phi, weight, <br> Ninputs | 0 |
| RoffFast | rise variable [1] | TfallSlow, phi, weight, <br> Ninputs | 0 |

■ These have a maximum value of 1 for an isolated IPSC

- Obsolete - Internal variables in the NET_RECEIVE block that are called by reference:

| Name | Description | Dependent Parameters | Initialization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rlast | amount of activation right after <br> the last synaptic event [1] | Rlast, Tlast, p, amp, Trise, <br> TfallFast, TfallSlow, w, <br> weight, Ninputs | 0 |
| Tlast | time point of the last synaptic <br> event [ms] | t | 0 |

- Equations:
- Upon receiving a synaptic event, update synaptic variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {off,fast }}=R_{\text {off,fast }}+\frac{w e i g h t}{N_{\text {inputs }}} \\
& R_{\text {off,slow }}=R_{\text {off,slow }}+\frac{w e i g h t}{N_{\text {inputs }}} \\
& R_{\text {on }}=R_{\text {on }}+\frac{w e i g h t}{N_{\text {inputs }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- At each time step, update synaptic variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d R_{\text {off,fast }}}{d t}=\frac{-R_{\text {off,fast }}}{T_{\text {off,fast }} / \Phi} \\
& \frac{d R_{\text {off,slow }}}{d t}=\frac{-R_{\text {off,slow }}}{T_{\text {off,slow }} / \Phi} \\
& \frac{d R_{\text {on }}}{d t}=\frac{-R_{\text {on }}}{T_{\text {on }} / \Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Finally, update currents:

$$
g_{\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{B}}}=A\left(1-R_{\mathrm{on}}\right)^{p}\left(w R_{\mathrm{off}, \text { fast }}+(1-w) R_{\text {off,slow }}\right)
$$

where $A$ is the amplitude (not the maximum though)

$$
I_{\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{B}}}=g_{\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{B}}}\left(V-E_{\mathrm{rev}}\right)
$$

- Parameters for GABA-B conductance curves @ $200 \% \mathrm{~g}$ incr:

|  | Control | GAT 1 Block | GAT 3 Block | Dual Block |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| amp [nS] | 32 | 48 | 17.76 | 12.64 |
| Trise [ms] | 52 | 52 | 38.63 | 39.88 |
| TfallFast [ms] | 90.1 | 90.1 | 273.4 | 65.8 |
| TfallSlow [ms] | 1073.2 | 1073.2 | 1022 | 2600 |
| w [1] | 0.952 | 0.952 | 0.775 | 0.629 |

- GABA-B conductance curves @ 200 \% g incr:

- GABA-B conductance curves @ $100 \%$, $200 \%$ \& $400 \% \mathrm{~g}$ incr:



## Plan for next week

- minEASE:
- Recompute IEls, ISIs, decay times, etc. after adding/deleting/changing events
- Single Neuron Model:
- Rerun singleneuronfitting10.m for Dexteshe default after changing ek, shifmIT \& shiftmlh
- Finish plotting the activation/inactivation curves
- Plot all I-V curves together
- Write out the voltage relationships between compartments. Is the cable equation used by NEURON? Are the diameters tapered?
- Investigate where shiftm, shifth, slopem, slopeh should be placed. Should we make T_1/2 and k parameters instead? (Perhaps no, because taum and minf should vary together, see Pinsky-Rinzel model.)
- Change eh to be bounded by -24~-32 mV?
- Try ball-and-stick model with 2 nodes for the stick instead? Use theory to estimate build parameters and fit only epas \& gpas?
- Try writing out an explicit objective function
- Write code for fitting across cells (pick a "stereotyped trace" from all trials, Change parameters for each cell)
- Area paper:
- Start writing background information for area paper
- Decide on committee members, defense date and send emails
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)


## 7/24/2017~7/26/2017

## minEASE (updates)

- Fixed bug for $10-90 \%$ rise times
- Combine event information from all sweeps that have been analyzed together and change the units of all time/duration values from samples to absolute time (ms).

| Peak Time | Breakpoin | Peak Valu | Peak Amp | 0-100\% | 10-90\% Ri | Peak to Pt | Peak to Bı | 50\% Deca | Full Decay | Event Clas | Whether I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25.9 | 31.1298 | 21.69 | 9.43987 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 6 | 1 |
| 32.2 | 37.7115 | 28.3664 | 9.3451 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 6 | 1 |
| 40.9 | 40.3224 | 30.9307 | 9.39167 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 43.5 | 42.2 | 3.8 | NaN | 6 | 1 |
| 84.4 | 37.3403 | 9.90454 | 27.4357 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 31.1 | 30.2 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 1 | 1 |
| 115.5 | 35.0054 | 25.521 | 9.48442 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 49.4 | 48.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 6 | 1 |
| 164.9 | 37.2528 | 28.5309 | 8.72189 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 6 | 1 |
| 175.4 | 37.3217 | 28.4304 | 8.89136 | 1 | 0.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 6 | 1 |
| 182.3 | 33.0117 | 24.0007 | 9.01108 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 41.9 | 41.6 | 0.2 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 224.2 | 38.8625 | 29.9925 | 8.86994 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 11.9 | 10.6 | NaN | NaN | 6 | 1 |
| 236.1 | 31.5741 | 17.3244 | 14.2498 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1 | 1 |
| 239.2 | 33.1785 | 24.1288 | 9.04967 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 31 | 30.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 6 | 1 |
| 270.2 | 29.3464 | 3.83406 | 25.5123 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 37.4 | 36.5 | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 307.6 | 30.0423 | 11.0243 | 19.0179 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1 | 1 |
| 349.9 | 31.1918 | 17.443 | 13.7489 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 79.2 | 78 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 |
| 429.1 | 33.7981 | 24.7354 | 9.06274 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 6 | 1 |
| 435 | 38.1053 | 26.7356 | 11.3696 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 20.2 | 18.2 | 2 | NaN | 2 | 1 |

- Averaged Types II \& III PSCs too, computed averaged PSCs 4 ways and allowed averaging after the event info from sweeps are combined:
- 'None' mode (keep all PSCs and including leading and trailing traces):

- 'Padboth' mode (pad NaNs to PSCs that are too short on both sides):

- 'Padright' mode (pad NaNs to PSCs that are too short on both sides):

- 'Omit' mode (omit PSCs that are too short):

- Allowed loading of previously saved event information
- Fixed the bug that checked events weren't initialized as filled circles


## 7/26/2017~7/30/2017

## Single Neuron Fitting (continued)

- singleneuronfitting6_manual: Took one trace out of each pharm x g incr pair for better visualization. From optimized parameters in singleneuronfitting5

All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_A092110


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_B091810


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_B092710


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_C092110


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_C092710


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_D091710


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_D091810


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_E091710


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_E091810


All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_F091810


- singleneuronfitting7_manual: After reorganizing mod files and changing ek to -97 mV:
- Before:

All traces for Experiment 20170727T0922_E091710


- After:

All traces for Experiment 20170728T1317_E091710


- singleneuronfitting8: Re-optimized with just these 12 traces. Started with default parameters from the Destexhe model (Destexhe default). Fitted conductances of all channels. Normalized sweep error by holding potential:

All traces for Experiment 20170728T1839_E091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170728T1839_E091710_aft


- Normalized sweep error by maximum noise:

All traces for Experiment 20170728T1925_E091710_aft


- Normalized all errors to initial error. Compared across initializing to Destexhe default, Christine's best values and best values from singleneuronfitting5.
All traces for Experiment 20170728T2233_E091710_aft



## - Initialized to Christine's best values

All traces for Experiment 20170729T0016_E091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170729T0016_E091710_aft


## - Initialized to best values from singleneuronfitting5

All traces for Experiment 20170729T0050_E091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170729T0050_E091710_aft


- Errors/Parameters comparison:

|  | Initialize to <br> Destexhe <br> - before | Initialize to <br> Destexhe <br> - after | Initialize to <br> Christine - <br> before | Initialize to <br> Christine - <br> after | Initialize to <br> singleneu <br> ronfitting5 <br> -before | Initialize to <br> singleneu <br> ronfitting5 <br> -after |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total error | 1 | 0.4822 | 1 | 0.7665 | 1 | 0.8293 |
| Sweep <br> error | 1 | 0.6341 | 1 | 1.341 | 1 | 1.118 |
| LTS amp <br> error | 1 | 0.7521 | 1 | 0.5806 | 1 | 0.8741 |
| LTS time <br> error | 1 | 0.4572 | 1 | 0.7618 | 1 | 0.898 |
| LTS slope <br> error | 1 | 0.1613 | 1 | 0.6697 | 1 | 0.5716 |
| Average <br> LTS error | 1 | 0.4569 | 1 | 0.6707 | 1 | 0.7813 |
| diamSom <br> a | 38.42 | 38.96 | 38.42 | 38.79 | 36.24 | 37.97 |
| LDend1 | 12.49 | 58.45 | 12.49 | 65.9 | 120 | 106.3 |
| diamDend <br> 1ToSoma | 0.2676 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | 0.2676 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 3 6}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| LDend2 | 84.67 | 113.2 | 84.67 | 104.4 | 117.2 | 102.6 |
| diamDend <br> $\mathbf{2 T o 1}$ | 0.8268 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.8268 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.7088 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0 7 1}$ |
| distDendP <br> ercent | 50 | 50 | 68.6 | $\mathbf{6 8 . 6}$ | 50 | 50 |
| cm | 0.88 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | 0.789 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 8 9}$ | 0.88 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ |
| Ra | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 |
| corrD | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 |
| gpas | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| epas | -80 | -72.24 | -80.4 | -77.86 | -70.19 | -90 |


| pcabarITS <br> oma | 0.0002 | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.32 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pcabarITD <br> end0 | 0.0002 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| pcabarITD <br> end1 | 0.0002 | $5.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.91 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.17 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| pcabarITD <br> end2 | 0.0002 | 0.01 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| shiftmIT | 2 | 2 | -13.8 | -13.8 | -13.8 | -13.8 |
| shifthIT | 0 | 0 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 |
| slopemIT | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| slopehIT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ghbarSom <br> a | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.01 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $9.04 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ghbarDen <br> d0 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00041 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.72 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ghbarDen <br> d1 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000156 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ghbarDen <br> d2 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| eh | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 |
| shiftmIh | 0 | 0 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| gkbarIKir <br> Soma | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.51 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gkbarIKir <br> Dend0 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gkbarIKir <br> Dend1 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gkbarIKir <br> Dend2 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.000674 |  |  |  |


| gkbarIADe <br> nd0 | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 2 5 4}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gkbarIADe <br> nd1 | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 7 5 2}$ |
| gkbarIADe <br> nd2 | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 4 2 9}$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PSoma | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 0 \mathrm { E } - 0 6}$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 5 \mathrm { E } - 0 6}$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.37 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PDend0 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 5 \mathrm { E } - 0 6}$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.11 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PDend1 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $8.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.72 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PDend2 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.53 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 3 \mathrm { E } - 0 5}$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.13 \mathrm{E}-07$ |

- Problem:
- If error is relative, there is no way to compare across optimization runs
- If error is absolute, must find a way to make the errors dimensionless so that different types of errors could be weighted and combined meaningfully.
- Solution: normalizing by some sort of uncertainty of measurement
- This also has the benefit of weighting noisier traces less.
- singleneuronfitting9: Made errors absolute (do not normalize to initial error). Normalized LTS errors to its own uncertainty (LTS amplitude is normalized by maximum noise, LTS time is normalized by peakwidth, LTS slope is normalized by slope*(2*maximum noise/peakprom + 2*ioffset/peakwidth). Changed LTS existence error from 1 to 10. Changed error ratios to Sweep:LTSamp:LTStime:LTSslope $=1: 1: 1: 1$
- Initialized to Destexhe default

All traces for Experiment 20170729T0304_E091710_bef





All traces for Experiment 20170729T0304_E091710_aft











## - Initialized to Christine's best values

All traces for Experiment 20170729T1838_E091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170729T1838_E091710_aft


- Initialized to best values from singleneuronfitting5

All traces for Experiment 20170729T1910_E091710_bef


- Errors/Parameters comparison:

|  | Initialize to Destexhe - before | Initialize to Destexhe - after | Initialize to Christine before | Initialize to Christine after | Initialize to <br> singleneu ronfitting5 <br> - before | Initialize to singleneu ronfitting5 - after |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total error | 23.18 | 6.984 | 7.624 | 5.736 | 7.288 | 5.128 |
| Sweep error | 14.46 | 2.509 | 1.758 | 1.791 | 2.133 | 2.324 |
| LTS amp error | 27.06 | 8.971 | 6.221 | 8.389 | 9.988 | 3.747 |
| LTS time error | 33.39 | 8.179 | 5.394 | 5.986 | 9.471 | 8.962 |
| LTS slope error | 17.82 | 8.276 | 17.12 | 6.777 | 7.559 | 5.479 |
| Average LTS error | 26.09 | 8.476 | 9.58 | 7.051 | 9.006 | 6.063 |
| diamSom <br> a | 38.42 | 38.96 | 38.42 | 38.79 | 36.24 | 37.97 |
| LDend1 | 12.49 | 58.45 | 12.49 | 65.9 | 120 | 106.3 |
| diamDend 1ToSoma | 0.2676 | 0.1 | 0.2676 | 0.1136 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| LDend2 | 84.67 | 113.2 | 84.67 | 104.4 | 117.2 | 102.6 |
| diamDend 2To1 | 0.8268 | 1 | 0.8268 | 1 | 0.7088 | 0.8071 |
| distDendP ercent | 50 | 50 | 68.6 | 68.6 | 50 | 50 |
| cm | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.789 | 0.789 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Ra | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 |
| corrD | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 |
| gpas | 1.00E-05 | 3.04E-05 | 8.21E-06 | 2.82E-05 | 3.26E-05 | 2.93E-05 |
| epas | -80 | -72.24 | -80.4 | -77.86 | -70.19 | -90 |
| pcabarlTS | 0.0002 | 1.00E-08 | 5.00E-06 | 2.99E-06 | 2.82E-07 | 2.43E-07 |


| oma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pcabarITD <br> end0 | 0.0002 | 0.000116 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.91 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| pcabarITD <br> end1 | 0.0002 | 0.000507 | $8.91 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.83 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| pcabarITD <br> end2 | 0.0002 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.92 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| shiftmIT | 2 | 2 | -13.8 | -13.8 | -13.8 | -13.8 |
| shifthIT | 0 | 0 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 |
| slopemIT | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| slopehIT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ghbarSom <br> a | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.14 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ghbarDen <br> d0 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ghbarDen <br> d1 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.23 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ghbarDen <br> d2 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.17 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| eh | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 |
| shiftmlh | 0 | 0 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| gkbarIKir <br> Soma | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gkbarlKir <br> ma | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| Dend0 | 0.0055 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dkbar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dend1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| gkbarlADe <br> nd0 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.001619 | 0.0055 | 0.004427 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gkbarlADe nd1 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.002416 |
| gkbarlADe nd2 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.001537 |
| gnabarlNa PSoma | 5.50E-06 | 7.81E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 5.08E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 9.13E-06 |
| gnabarlNa PDend0 | 5.50E-06 | 3.52E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 7.50E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 4.70E-06 |
| gnabarlNa PDend1 | 5.50E-06 | 2.98E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 5.84E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 7.88E-06 |
| gnabarlNa <br> PDend2 | 5.50E-06 | 7.31E-06 | 5.50E-06 | 7.70E-06 | 5.50E-06 | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ |

- Ran optimization 3 times consecutively, each time using the previous best result as initial value.
- Initialized to Destexhe default.

All traces for Experiment 20170729T0304_E091710_bef





- Iteration \#1:

All traces for Experiment 20170729T0304_E091710_aft


- Iteration \#2:

All traces for Experiment 20170729T0947_E091710_aft


## - Iteration \#3:

## All traces for Experiment 20170729T1044_E091710_aft




- Errors/Parameters comparison:

|  | Initialize to <br> Destexhe | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total error | 23.18 | 6.984 | 6.698 | 6.469 |
| Sweep error | 14.46 | 2.509 | 2.813 | 3.071 |
| LTS amp error | 27.06 | 8.971 | 10.41 | 9.17 |
| LTS time error | 33.39 | 8.179 | 6.674 | 6.704 |
| LTS slope error | 17.82 | $\mathbf{8 . 2 7 6}$ | 6.896 | 6.931 |
| Average LTS <br> error | 26.09 | $\mathbf{8 . 4 7 6}$ | 7.993 | 7.602 |
| diamSoma | 38.42 | 38.96 | $\mathbf{3 6 . 6 1}$ | 37.13 |
| LDend1 | 12.49 | 58.45 | $\mathbf{8 7 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 9 4}$ |
| diamDend1ToS | 0.2676 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |


| oma |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LDend2 | 84.67 | 113.2 | 109 | 111.1 |
| diamDend2To1 | 0.8268 | 1 | 1 | 0.971 |
| distDendPerce nt | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| cm | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Ra | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 |
| corrD | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 |
| gpas | 1.00E-05 | 3.04E-05 | 2.97E-05 | 2.98E-05 |
| epas | -80 | -72.24 | -50 | -50 |
| pcabarlTSoma | 0.0002 | 1.00E-08 | 7.94E-08 | 7.76E-08 |
| pcabaritDend0 | 0.0002 | 0.000116 | 3.94E-05 | 2.49E-05 |
| pcabariTDend1 | 0.0002 | 0.000507 | 0.000657 | 0.00066 |
| pcabarlTDend2 | 0.0002 | 5.70E-05 | 1.00E-08 | 1.00E-08 |
| shiftmIT | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| shifthIT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| slopemIT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| slopehIT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ghbarSoma | 2.20E-05 | 8.93E-05 | 8.02E-05 | 8.62E-05 |
| ghbarDend0 | 2.20E-05 | 2.56E-05 | 6.58E-05 | 7.78E-05 |
| ghbarDend1 | 2.20E-05 | 3.46E-05 | 2.82E-05 | 4.35E-05 |
| ghbarDend2 | 2.20E-05 | 2.93E-05 | 5.65E-05 | 8.71E-05 |
| eh | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 |
| shiftmlh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| gkbarlKirSoma | 2.00E-05 | 1.86E-05 | 3.15E-05 | 6.13E-05 |
| gkbarIKirDend0 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.96E-05 | 2.02E-05 | 3.70E-05 |


| gkbarIKirDend1 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gkbarIKirDend2 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gkbarIASoma | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.008243 |
| gkbarIADend0 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| gkbarIADend1 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.008574 |
| gkbarIADend2 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.009322 |
| gnabarINaPSo <br> ma | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| gnabarINaPDen <br> d0 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| gnabarINaPDen <br> d1 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.43 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| gnabarINaPDen <br> d2 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.97 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}-06$ |

- Increased maximum number of iterations to 400 . Increased maximum number of function evaluations to 2000. Changed LTS existence error from 10 to 20. Changed error ratios to Sweep:LTSamp:LTStime:LTSslope = 2:1:2:3
- Initialized to Destexhe default

All traces for Experiment 20170730T0020_E091710_bef




All traces for Experiment 20170730T0020_E091710_aft


- Changed error ratios to Sweep:LTSamp:LTStime:LTSslope = 3:1:2:3
- Initialized to Destexhe default

All traces for Experiment 20170731T0550_E091710_bef





## All traces for Experiment 20170731T0550_E091710_aft












Error History







## - Initialized to Christine's best values

All traces for Experiment 20170730T1446_E091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170730T1446_E091710_aft



## Error History



- Initialized to best values from singleneuronfitting5

All traces for Experiment 20170730T1841_E091710_bef


All traces for Experiment 20170730T1841_E091710_aft



Error History


- Errors/Parameters comparison:

|  | Initialize to <br> Destexhe <br> -before | Initialize to <br> Destexhe <br> -after | Initialize to <br> Christine - <br> before | Initialize to <br> Christine - <br> after | Initialize to <br> singleneu <br> ronfitting5 <br> -before | Initialize to <br> singleneu <br> ronfitting5 <br> -after |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total error | 33.82 | 10.22 | 10.6 | 7.457 | 7.519 | 6.73 |
| Sweep <br> error | 17.7 | 4.24 | 1.758 | 2.938 | 2.133 | 2.338 |
| LTS amp <br> error | 30.62 | 14.1 | 10.98 | 8.813 | 11.39 | 7.747 |
| LTS time <br> error | 17.18 | 13 | 10.53 | 11.8 | 10.94 | 11.1 |
| LTS slope <br> error | 62.11 | 13.05 | 19.37 | 8.632 | 9.334 | 7.87 |
| Average <br> LTS error | 41.89 | 13.21 | 15.02 | 9.717 | 10.21 | 8.925 |
| diamSom <br> a | 38.42 | 38.96 | 38.42 | 38.79 | 36.24 | 37.97 |
| LDend1 | 12.49 | 58.45 | 12.49 | 65.9 | 120 | 106.3 |
| diamDend <br> 1ToSoma | 0.2676 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | 0.2676 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 3 6}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| LDend2 | 84.67 | 113.2 | 84.67 | 104.4 | 117.2 | 102.6 |
| diamDend <br> $\mathbf{2 T o 1}$ | 0.8268 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.8268 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.7088 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0 7 1}$ |
| distDendP <br> ercent | 50 | 50 | 68.6 | $\mathbf{6 8 . 6}$ | 50 | 50 |
| cm | 0.88 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | 0.789 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 8 9}$ | 0.88 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ |
| Ra | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 |
| pcabarITS | 0.0002 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| eorrD | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 | 7.954 |
| gpas | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| -80 | -80.4 | $\mathbf{- 7 7 . 8 6}$ | -70.19 | -90 |  |  |


| oma |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pcabarITD <br> end0 | 0.0002 | 7.20E-05 | 5.00E-06 | 3.32E-06 | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.37E-07 |
| pcabarlTD <br> end1 | 0.0002 | 0.000115 | 8.91E-06 | 5.83E-06 | 1.84E-06 | 1.08E-06 |
| pcabarITD <br> end2 | 0.0002 | 9.16E-05 | 3.98E-06 | 3.47E-05 | 5.66E-05 | 5.05E-05 |
| shiftmIT | -2 | -2 | -13.8 | -13.8 | -13.8 | -13.8 |
| shifthIT | 0 | 0 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 |
| slopemIT | 1 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| slopehIT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ghbarSom <br> a | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.73E-05 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.84E-06 | 3.02E-07 | 6.33E-07 |
| ghbarDen d0 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.41E-06 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.74E-06 | 3.02E-07 | 4.98E-07 |
| ghbarDen d1 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001241 | 1.10E-05 | 3.64E-06 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 8.37E-06 |
| ghbarDen <br> d2 | 2.20E-05 | 1.47E-05 | 1.10E-05 | 1.00E-08 | 1.02E-06 | 1.22E-06 |
| eh | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 | -43 |
| shiftmlh | 0 | 0 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| gkbarlKir Soma | 2.00E-05 | 0.000135 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.23E-05 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8.84E-06 |
| gkbarlKir <br> Dend0 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000131 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.86E-05 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gkbarlKir Dend1 | 2.00E-05 | 0.001417 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.08E-05 | 2.00E-05 | 1.00E-08 |
| gkbarIKir Dend2 | 2.00E-05 | 0.000127 | 2.00E-05 | 3.61E-05 | 2.00E-05 | 2.80E-05 |
| gkbarlASo ma | 0.0055 | 0.001049 | 0.0055 | 0.01 | 0.0055 | 0.01 |


| gkbarIADe <br> nd0 | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 9 7 2 7}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gkbarIADe <br> nd1 | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 3 3 5}$ |
| gkbarIADe <br> nd2 | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 0.0055 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 9 2 6}$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PSoma | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.13 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PDend0 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PDend1 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.84 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.92 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| gnabarINa <br> PDend2 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.53 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $8.69 \mathrm{E}-06$ |

## Plan for next week

- minEASE:
- Skip to unchecked events when using keyboard
- Allow .mat files to be imported too
- Recompute IEIs, ISIs, decay times, etc. after adding/deleting/changing events
- Single Neuron Model:
- List all the equations used and try writing out an explicit objective function
- Write code for fitting across cells (pick a "stereotyped trace" from all trials, Change parameters for each cell)
- Area paper:
- Start writing background information for area paper
- Decide on committee members, defense date and send emails
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)
- Cohen (Analyzing Neural Time Series Data)


## Plan for next week

- Single Neuron Model:
- Organize all mechanisms in the model
- Place all range parameters in GUI and fit again
- Explore Ed's way of parallelizing Matlab without using a toolbox license.
- List all the equations used and write out objective function explicitly
- Figure out a way to fit across cells
- Area paper:
- Browse recent literature on GABA B receptors
- Decide on topic for area paper
- Knowledge buildup:
- Sterratt et al (Principles of Computational Modelling in Neuroscience)


## 20170618~20170715

## Single Neuron Fitting (cont'd)

- singleneuronfitting5.slurm:
- Fitted $\mathbf{1 0}$ cells with LTS amp:LTS time:LTS slope:sweep error ratio = 2:2:2:1
- 20 initial conditions on Rivanna
- Passive parameters fitted:
- diam_soma, diam_dend1tosoma, diam_dend2to1
- L_dend1, L_dend2
- gpas, epas
- Active parameters fitted:
- ghbar_soma, ghbar_dend1, ghbar_dend2
- gcabar_soma, gcabar_dend1, gcabar_dend2

－D091710，before optimization
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- E091710, before optimization

- E091710, after optimization



## - B091810, before optimization



- B091810, after optimization

- D091810, before optimization

- D091810, after optimization

- E091810, before optimization

- E091810, after optimization

- F091810, before optimization

- F091810, after optimization

- A092110, before optimization

- A092110, after optimization

- C092110, before optimization

- C092110, after optimization

- B092710, before optimization

- B092710, after optimization

- C092710, before optimization

- C092710, after optimization



## Plan for next week

- Single Neuron Model:
- Organize all mechanisms in the model
- List all the equations used and write out objective function explicitly
- Johnston \& Wu:
- Read Ch 7~15, Appendix A \& B
- Area paper:
- Browse recent literature
- Think about topic for area paper


## 5/20/2017~6/5/2017

## minEASE

- Default parameters:

| Direction of PSC ("E" or "I") | E |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lowpass Filter Cutoff Frequency (Hz) | 3000 |
| Lowpass Butterworth Filter Order | 8 |
| Noise Window Size (samples) | 100 |
| Noise Skewness Cutoff | 0.2 |
| Noise Excess Kurtosis Cutoff | 0.2 |
| Signal to Noise ratio for an event | 2 |
| Minimum Amplitude Threshold for an event (pA) | 8 |
| Moving Average Filter Window (ms) | 0.5 |
| Minimum Baseline Difference (pA) | 8 |
| Crude Burst Region Size (events) | 50 |
| Minimum Spikes Per Burst | 3 |
| Maximum Inter-Spike Interval (ms) | 10 |
| Minimum PSC Amplitude (pA) | 10 |
| Maximum PSC 10-90\% Rise Time (ms) | 8 |
| Maximum PSC 50\% Decay Time (ms) | 50 |
| Total PSC Trace Length (ms) | 50 |
| PSC Trace Length Before Breakpoint (ms) | 3 |
| Start Detection (sec) | 0 |
| End Detection (sec or "end") | end |
| Seal Test Window [start, end] (ms) | [1000, 1050] |

- Autodetection results so far:
- Event Detection \& Classification
- Original current trace is in black
- Moving-average-filtered current trace is in magenta
- Direction-filtered current trace is in green
- PSCs are in red
- Bursts are in

Data Processing with Cumulative Difference


Event Detection by Cumulative Difference


- Burst Detection
- Maximum Inter-Spike Interval $=10 \mathrm{~ms}$
- Minimum Spikes Per Burst = 3
- All event breakpoints are in blue
- "Crude burst regions" are in green
- "Bursts" are in


## Burst Detection



- Zoomed in to a "burst":



Event Detection by Cumulative Difference


- Trace Averaging
- Averaged PSC trace is in cyan



## Plan for next week

- Continue improving minEASE until Tuesday
- Resume improving fitting on Wednesday


## 2/10/2017

## Made solutions

- ACSF 10x:
- Added water to $\sim 1800 \mathrm{~mL}$ and mixed in $\mathbf{2 L}$ bottle
- Added water to 2 L in volumetric flask (Actual: slightly above the line)
- Transferred back to 2 L bottle and stored in fridge

| Compound | Final Conc. (mM) for 1x | $\mathrm{MW}(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{g} / 2 \mathrm{~L}$ for $10 \mathrm{x}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{K C l}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 74.55 | 3.7275 |
| Glucose | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 180.16 | 36.03 |
| $\mathbf{N a C l}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | 58.44 | 147.27 |
| $\mathbf{a H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P O}_{\mathbf{4}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ | 137.99 | 3.4498 |
| $\mathbf{M g S O}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{7 \mathbf { H } _ { \mathbf { 2 } } \mathbf { O }}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 246.47 | 4.9294 |
| $\mathbf{C a C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 147.01 | 5.8804 |

* Actual figures used this time was from the website


## 2/16/2017

- Internal solution:
- Potassium-gluconate internal (Considered relatively physiological; aka Sun's GABAB internal)
- Made 0.99 mL aliquots
- Added $\sim 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ initially
- Measured the pH while stirring, increasing the pH to $7.3 \sim 7.4$ by adding KOH (First 4M, then 1M)
- Final pH was: $\mathbf{7 . 3 0}$
- Osmolality was 282, 272, 277, 276 (target $293 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
- Stirred a little more and wait longer: osmolality was 297, 297, 278, 279, 275 (target $293 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
- Total solution was $\sim 88 \mathrm{~mL}$ ( 880.99 mL aliquots)

| Compound | Final Conc. (mM) | MW (g/mol) | $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ (add $<95 \mathrm{~mL}$ initially <br> though) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-gluconate <br> (D-gluconic acid) | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 234.24 | 2.3424 |
| $\mathbf{M g C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{6 \mathbf { H } _ { \mathbf { 2 } } \mathbf { O }}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 203.30 | 0.1830 |
| $\mathbf{K C l}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 74.55 | 0.0969 |
| $\mathbf{C a C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{2 \mathbf { H } _ { \mathbf { 2 } } \mathbf { O }}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | 147.01 | 0.0010 |
| $\mathbf{H e p e s}$ buffer $_{\text {EGTA }}^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 238.3 | 0.2383 |

* Actual figures used this time was from the website


## 2/17/2017

- $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{u L}$ ATP \& GTP aliquots to be added to internal on the day of:

| Compound | Final Conc. (mM) | MW (g/mol) | $\mathrm{g} / 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathbf{A T P}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 551.1 | 0.1102 |
| NaGTP | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | 523.2 | 0.0262 |

## 2/13/2017~2/26/2017

## Chloride-dependent RT Network

- All files are under /media/adamX/RTCI/
- File structure:

| File name | Description | Requires | Used By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| neuronlaunch. m | Launches NEURON with simulation commands and plot output figures | run2.hoc <br> run.hoc (obsolete) <br> show_RTnet.m <br> raster_plot.m <br> single_neuron.m |  |
| run.hoc | Runs network simulation (obsolete) | net.hoc dummy.mod | neuronlaunch.m (potentially) |
| run2.hoc | Define global parameters (ncells \& celsius) and load procedures | net.hoc | neuronlaunch.m |
| net.hoc | Procedures for network simulations: <br> buildnet(), randleak(), vinit(), <br> REsinglecp(), REsingleact(), <br> RErandact(), sim() | RE.tem gabaA_Cl.mod gabaa.mod (potentially) | run.hoc run2.hoc |
| RE.tem | Template file for defining reticular thalamic neurons | HH2.mod ITs.mod IKCa.mod cadecay.mod cldif2.mod | net.hoc |
| show_RTnet.m | Shows network topology for each RT network | /Downloaded_Fun ctions/dirr.m | neuronlaunch.m |
| raster_plot.m | Shows a spike raster plot for each set of neurons | /Downloaded_Fun ctions/dirr.m | neuronlaunch.m |
| single_neuron. m | Shows single neuron voltage \& chloride concentration traces for each neuron | /Downloaded_Fun ctions/dirr.m | neuronlaunch.m |
| HH2.mod | Fast $\mathrm{Na}+$ and $\mathrm{K}+$ currents responsible for action potentials (Destexhe, 1992) |  | RE.tem |
| ITs.mod | Low threshold calcium current (Sohal, 1997) |  | RE.tem |


| IKCa.mod | Linear calcium-dependent <br> potassium current (Sohal, 2003) |  | RE.tem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cadecay.mod | Fast mechanism for <br> submembranal Ca++ <br> concentration (cai) (Destexhe, <br> 1995) | RE.tem |  |
| cldif2.mod | Chloride accumulation and <br> diffusion with chloride pump <br> (Lineweaver-Burke equation) and <br> chloride leak (Jedlicka et al <br> 2011) | RE.tem |  |
| gabaA_Cl.mod | Synaptic GABAergic mechanism <br> that's dependent on chloride <br> concentration (Jedlicka et al <br> 2011) | net.hoc |  |
| gabaA_Cl.mod | Simple GABA-A receptor | net.hoc |  |

- Output folder: Use current date \& time in the format: YYYYMMDDThhmm
- Output files (in output folder):

| File name | Content |
| :--- | :--- |
| sim_params.csv | simulation parameters |
| sim_commands.txt | simulation commands |
| sim_output.txt | simulation standard outputs |
| RERE.syn | RE-RE synaptic connections |
| RE.spi | RE spike train output |
| RE.singv | RE single neuron voltage traces |
| RE.singcli | RE single neuron chloride concentration traces |
| RE.leak | RE single neuron leak properties |

- Parameters (neuronlaunch.m \& run2.hoc)
- Global parameters to be defined at the start of NEURON, to be consistent with run.hoc or run2.hoc:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ncells | 100 | \# of cells |
| celsius | 34 | Temperature of experiment (celsius), Sohal \& Huguenard 2003 |

- Network parameters:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REREradius | 4 | Radius of intra-RE connections, Sohal \& Huguenard 2003 |
| sp_thr | 0 | Action potential threshold (mV) |
| syn_del | 1 | Synaptic delay (ms) |
| syn_w | 0.5 | Synaptic weight (fraction of channels activated) |

- RE cell parameters:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RErest | -77 |  <br> Huguenard 2003 |
| REgpasLB | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Lower bound for passive leak conductance (S/cm^2) in RE cells, <br> Sohal \& Huguenard 2003 |
| REgpasUB | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | Upper bound for passive leak conductance ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$ 2) in RE cells, <br> Sohal \& Huguenard 2003 |
| REGgaba | 0.04 | Conductance ( $\mu \mathrm{S}$ ) of GABA-A synapses on RE cells <br> Sohal \& Huguenard 2003: to be varied between 40~100 nS |
| gaba_grel | 0.2 | Relative conductance of HCO3 of the GABA-A receptor |

- Activation mode:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| actmode | 1 | Activation mode: <br> 1 - Activate a single RE cell by injecting a current pulse <br> 2-Activate a single RE cell by changing the membrane potential <br> instantaneously <br> 3 - Activate RE cells with a Gaussian likelihood by changing the <br> mp instantaneously |

- Activation parameters for 'cp' mode

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| actceIIID | 50 | ID \# of neuron to activate |
| cp_start | 500 | Current pulse delay (ms) |
| cp_dur | 200 | Current pulse duration (ms) |
| cp_amp | 10 | Current pulse amplitude (nA) |

- Activation parameters for 'single' or 'random' mode:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| actcellv | 0 | Voltage (mV) to set activated neuron to |
| actwidth | 50 | Width of Gaussian distribution for randomly activating cells |
| actmaxp | 0.5 | Maximum likelihood of activation at center |

- Simulation parameters:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| niters | 1 | Number of times to run simulation |
| tstop | 2000 | Total time of simulation (ms) |
| dt | 0.1 | Time step of integration (ms) |

- Plot flags:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| plotspikes | 1 | Whether to plot spike data |
| plotsinglene <br> urondata | 1 | Whether to plot single neuron data |

- ID \#s of neurons to plot:

| Name | Initial <br> value | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| act | 50 | ID \# of the activated neuron |
| act_left1 | 49 | ID \# of the neuron one below the activated neuron |
| act_left2 | 48 | ID \# of the neuron 2 below the activated neuron |
| far | 1 | ID \# of a far away neuron |

- Procedures (net.hoc)
- buildnet(REGgaba, gaba_grel, REREradius, sp_thr, syn_del, syn_w, sREREsynF_full)
- Creates neurons (ncells of them) according to the template in RE.tem
- Places a GABA-A receptor (gabaA_CI.mod) on the soma of each neuron with gmax (maximum conductance in $\mu \mathrm{S}$ ) \& grel (relative conductance of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ) set by REGgaba \& gaba_grel, respectively.
- Set up GABA-A synapses between RE cells:
- Each cell projects to each of the adjacent REREradius cells on either side (total \# of synapses should be 2*REREradius*ncells)
- There are no autapses
- There are no boundaries; the network is circular
- The threshold for an action potential to occur is sp_thr mV
- The delay accounting for synaptic transmission is syn_del ms
- The weight of synaptic activation is syn_w (fraction of channels open), i.e., gmax will be multiplied by this fraction
- Print synaptic map to a file with name sREREsynF_full (currently RERE.syn)
- Set up vectors to record all spike events
- Set up vectors to record all single neuron voltage \& chloride concentration traces
randleak(REgpasLB, REgpasUB, sREleakF_full)
- Randomize leak properties for each RE cell using a uniform distribution; currently only gpas is randomized (from REgpasLB to REgpasUB)
- Print leak properties to a file with name sREleakF_full (currently RE.leak) vinit(RErest)
- Initialize all mechanisms and point processes (finitialize())
- Set initial membrane potential of each neuron to RErest
- REsinglecp(actcellID, cp_start, cp_dur, cp_amp)
- Place a current clamp electrode (IClamp) at the neuron with ID \# actcellID
- Current pulse delay is cp_start ms
- Current pulse duration is cp_dur ms
- Current pulse amplitude is $\mathbf{c p}$ _amp nA
- REsingleact(actcellID, actcellv)
- Set initial membrane potential of the neuron with ID \# actceIIID to be actcellv mV
- RErandact(actcellID, actwidth, actmaxp, actcellv)
- With a probability set by a Gaussian distribution with maximum actmaxp centered at actcelIID with standard deviation actwidth, set initial membrane potential of a neuron to be actcellv mV
- $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i m }}$ (tstop, dt, plotspikes, plotsingleneurondata, sREspikeF_full, sREvF_full, sREcliF_full)
- Using a total time of tstop and a time step of dt, simulate
- If plotspikes is 1 , print the spike train data to a file with name sREspikeF_full (currently RE.spi)
- If plotsingleneurondata is 1 , print the single neuron voltage \& chloride concentration traces to a file with name sREvF_full (currently RE.singv) \& sREcliF_full (currently RE.singcli), respectively
- Preliminary results
- Network topology

- Network topology zoomed in

- Without chloride-dependence (gabaa.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0 ~ m V}$


- Without chloride-dependence (gabaa.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


- Without chloride-dependence (gabaa.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


- Without chloride-dependence (gabaa.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


- With chloride-dependence (gabaA_CI.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0 ~ m V}$


- With chloride-dependence (gabaA_Cl.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$

- With chloride-dependence (gabaA_Cl.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$

- With chloride-dependence (gabaA_Cl.mod), gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


- gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 7} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


- $\operatorname{gmax}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$

- gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$

- gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 2 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


$\bigcirc$ gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 2 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


○ $\operatorname{gmax}=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$



- gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set random neurons to $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


○ $\operatorname{gmax}=\mathbf{0 . 0 4 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ m V}$


- gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 5 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, set single neuron to $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{m V}$

- gmax $=\mathbf{0 . 0 4 0} \boldsymbol{\mu S}$, applied a current pulse (delay $\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$, duration $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$, amplitude 10 nA ) to single neuron


- gmax $=0.040 \mu \mathrm{~S}$, applied a current pulse (delay 500 ms , duration 100 ms , amplitude 10 nA ) to single neuron

- Removed autapses



- gmax $=0.040 \mu \mathrm{~S}$, applied a current pulse (delay 500 ms , duration 200 ms , amplitude 10 nA ) to single neuron


Somatic voltage (mV) for RE.sing





- When code was first transferred from run.hoc to neuronlaunch.m, celsius was not implemented correctly and was at the NEURON default 6.3 degrees Celsius. This produced extremely slow action potentials:


Somatic voltage (mV) for RE.sing





- Fixed placement of finitialize() (should be before soma voltage is set) and plotted chloride concentration.







- Why does chloride concentration decrease?


## Plan for next week

- LGN electrophysiology:
- Try patching on Wed, Fri, Sat
- Chloride-dependent RT Network:
- Understand how each mechanism works and potentially change synaptic weights as a function of Ninputs
- Change synaptic weights and chloride extrusion time constants
- Vary parameters such as celsius, syn_del, syn_w, REGgaba, cp_dur, cp_amp
- Data Analysis - voltage traces:
- Fix find_LTS.m to enforce overrules.
- Rerun dclampDataExtractor.m with all the overrules enforced (dclampDataExtractor14.slurm, giving the version old15)
- Run compare_statistics.m: Compare with version 13 (old13); find all traces with altered LTS onset times and reclassify; find all traces with altered spikes per peak and reclassify
- Ran update_figures.sh. Examined each special cases folder and looked for any classification discrepancies
- Run find_special_cases.m, reclassify. Run copy_LTS_figures.sh, then backup_figures.sh
- Run find_more_gray_area_traces.sh, reclassify
- Run find_remaining_vtraces_scaled.m \& check_filecounts.sh again to make sure all $\mathbf{7 4 3 0}$ voltage traces were classified both in the set peakclass and in the set noisiness.
- Run update_figures.sh again. Examine each special cases folder and look for any classification discrepancies
- Compute new histograms, thresholds, correlations, bargraphs, passive parameters under all fitmodes
- Brian's tasks:
- Devise a good threshold for "noisy recordings"
- Take out any trace with error greater than the threshold from the trace averaging. Compute the mean recorded voltage change ( $\Delta \bar{V}_{r e c}$ ), the mean current pulse amplitude (cpa_mean), the mean pulse width (pw_mean) by averaging over all traces remaining.


## 12/19/2016

## Audio monitor

Dear Mark,

This is what I found in a forum:
"They suggested me to check on WPI for an external audio monitor." I couldn't find it though.
"If you want the least expensive solution, just hook up an active speaker (from your mp3 player). There are connector adapters for that. You might want to mute the audio when the Axoclamp input is in open circuit condition (dedicated audio monitors may have a feature to suppress upon large amplitude oscillations / amp saturation) or, if possible, ground the input with a clip (does not work with modern pipette holders). "
"If you solder a BNC cable with a Audio 3.5 mm Cable, it is possible to connect directly the BNC output (Monitor) to the Mic jack in the PC, and in windows 7, there is a mode were all sounds received via the Mic are re-directed to the system speakers, that worked for me. "
https://www.researchgate.net/post/is there a way to audio monitor an electrophysiology sig nal_using_Clampex_10 [accessed Dec 19, 2016].

I also found this page, which shows you how to build a voltage-controlled oscillator: http://www.instructables.com/id/How-to-Make-a-Voltage-Controlled-Oscillator/

Which one will be best?

Thanks,
Adam

1/9/2017

## Literature search on LGN slice recordings

- Geoff:
- Thalamic Relay Functions and Their Role in Corticocortical Communication: Generalizations from the Visual System
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627301005827
- Tonic and burst firing: dual modes of thalamocortical relay http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166223600017148
- Developmental Remodeling of the Retinogeniculate Synapse
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627300001665
- Adam:
- Electrophysiological Properties of Dorsal Lateral Geniculate Neurons in Brain Slices from ME7 Scrapie-Infected Mice http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0014488697967133?np=y
- Changes in firing pattern of lateral geniculate neurons caused by membrane potential dependent modulation of retinal input through NMDA receptors http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.131540/full


## Protocols for LGN slice recordings

- Immunohistochemistry:
- Excitatory cell marker? CAMKII?
- Biocytin-filled projections:
- Coronal sections should show axons going rostro-ventro-lateral

- Single cell properties:
- I-V curve
- passive properties (input resistance, time constant)
- resting membrane potential
- action potential firing threshold
- action potential shape (spike amplitude, AHP amplitude, spike rise time, spike decay time, spike half width)
- morphology (biocytin fills)
- Synaptic properties:
- EPSP shape (amplitude, rise time, decay time)
- firing patterns evoked by a pulse train of afferent stimuli
- Protocols:
- Slicing:
- 250 um coronal sections with caudal down (cut off cerebellum to form flat end)
- After pipette is in solution:
- Amplifier at V-CLAMP mode, reading I
- Open 00_VC_gap_free.pro
- Reset Data File Names to reflect new cell
- Remove any previous holding voltage on amplifier or Clampex
- Open default Membrane Test ( $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~ m V}$ pulse at $\mathbf{1 0 . 0} \mathbf{~ H z}$ )
- Right before breaking in:
- Reset pipette offset so that no current is applied at baseline
- Keep amplifier at V-CLAMP mode, recording I
- Hold voltage at $\mathbf{- 7 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$ with amplifier (since Clampex can't hold potentials when the default Membrane Test is used)
- After breaking in:
- Gap free (A20170111_0000.abf):
- Duration: 60 sec
- Switch amplifier to I = 0, reading Vm
- Remove holding voltage on amplifier
- Membrane test for passive properties (input resistance, time constant)?
- Open 01_VC_membrane_test.pro
- Make low-pass Bessel filter 100 kHz
- Switch amplifier to V-CLAMP mode, reading I
- Hold at -70 mV with Clampex

■ Step (A20170111_0001.abf):

- Amplitudes: $\mathbf{- 7 5} \mathrm{mV}$
- Duration: $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$
- Frequency: $\mathbf{2 0 ~ H z}$ (every $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$ )
- Repetition: 500 sweeps ( $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ s e c}$ total)
- For synaptic events:
- Keep amplifier at V-CLAMP mode, reading I
- Open 02_VC_synaptic_events.pro
- Hold at $\mathbf{- 7 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$ with Clampex
- Make low-pass Bessel filter 5 kHz

■ Step (A20170111_0002.abf):

- Amplitudes: -70 mV
- Duration: $\mathbf{3 0 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$
- Frequency: $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 3} \mathbf{~ H z}$ (every $\mathbf{3 0}$ s)
- Repetition: 10 sweeps ( $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$ total)
- For resting membrane potential/spontaneous spiking activity:
- Switch amplifier to I $=0$, reading Vm
- Open 03_10_spontaneous_activity.pro
- Step (A20170111_0003.abf):
- Amplitudes: 0
- Frequency: 0.1 Hz (every 10 s)
- Repetition: 12 sweeps (2 min total)
- For I-V curve/action potential threshold/FI plot:
- Open 04_CC_IV_curve.pro
- Switch amplifier to I-CLAMP NORMAL, reading Vm
- Apply holding current with amplifier so that membrane potential is $\mathbf{- 5 5} \mathbf{~ m V}$
- Step (A20170111_0004.abf):
- Amplitudes: -200:25:300 pA
- Duration: $\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$
- Frequency: 0.1 Hz (every 10 s)
- Repetition: 21 sweeps ( $\mathbf{3 . 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$ total)
- For I-V curve:
- Current clamp
- Apply holding current so that membrane potential is $\mathbf{- 7 0} \mathbf{~ m V}$
- Step (A20170111_0005.abf):
- Amplitudes: -200:25:300 pA
- Duration: $\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$
- Frequency: 0.1 Hz (every 10 s)
- Repetition: 21 sweeps ( $\mathbf{3 . 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$ total)
- For biocytin fill:
- $5 \%$ biocytin made up in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
- Retract slowly under membrane test Patch mode to let membrane reseal (resistance has to go back to Giga Ohms)
- Washout excess biocytin with ACSF for 20 min
- Backup protocols
- For EPSP:

■ Current clamp

- Apply holding current so that membrane potential is -60 mV
- Stimulate optic tract with bipolar tungsten electrode:
- Amplitudes: 1-40 V
- Duration: 50 ms
- Frequency: 1 Hz
- Repetition:
- Biocytin fill for sharp electrode:
- $2 \%$ biocytin made up in 0.05 M Tris/1 M KCl (resistance $70-150 \mathrm{MV}$ )
- Current clamp
- Step:
- Amplitude: 0.6~0.9 nA
- Duration: 300 ms
- Frequency: 0.6 Hz (every 1667 ms )
- Repetition: 540 (a minimum of 15 min )
- Membrane test for sharp electrode:
- Current clamp
- Apply holding current so that membrane potential is -60 mV
- Record holding current value
- Step:
- Amplitudes: -50 pA
- Duration: 100 ms
- Frequency:
- Repetition:
- Tested protocols with model cell
- Attempted to record in a 2-month old mouse:
- ACSF was $298 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$
- NMDG was $310 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$
- Pipette resistances were 2.4~3.3 MOhm (Thin-wall pipettes with I.D. 1.10 mm and O.D. 1.50 mm were used under previous puller settings)
- LGN:
- Under 5 X :

- Under 40 x :

- No Gigaohm seal was formed. Possible reasons:
- The slices were unhealthy (the extracellular matrix is very loose, and the cell boundaries disappear when approached by a pipette). Perfusion might have been imperfect; note color of brain next time.
- Positive/negative pressure too high ( $3 \sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ was used for positive pressure; $4 \sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ was used for negative pressure)


## 1/4/2017~1/17/2017

## Passive Fitting (*cont'd)

- Made geometry (L, diam of soma and dendrite) params:
- Forced length of soma to be equal to the diameter to reduce the number of parameters
- Forced dend1[1] and dend1[2] (the middle and distal dendrite, respectively) to have equal diameters diam_dend2 and equal length $1 / 2$ * L_dend2
- Initial values and boundaries for each parameter (based on the distribution of the estimated parameters from the curve-fitting method):

| Parameter | Initial value $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Lower bound $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Upper bound $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diam_soma | 38.42 | 30 | 100 |
| L_dend1 | 12.49 | 5 | 120 |
| diam_dend1 | 10.28 | 1 | 30 |
| L_dend2 | 84.67 | 5 | 120 |
| diam_dend2 | 8.5 | 1 | 30 |

- Some questions:
- What bounds would be more physiological?
- Should we have restrictions on the relative lengths and diameters of each dendritic segment?
- Made sure fitting still works (the following was for 5 different cells x 4 pharm conditions at $200 \% \mathrm{~g}$ incr):

All traces for Experiment 20170114 T1254


- Difficulty translating the results from the curve-fitting method to the biophysical model:
- In NEURON, the soma is modeled as a cylinder, not a sphere as in the ball-and-stick model. What is the equivalent length \& diameter of the cylinder given the diameter of the sphere?
- In the biophysical model, there are 2 or 3 dendritic compartments (Destexhe's or Christine's, respectively). However, using only two terms in the curve-fitting method yields estimates for the length and diameter of a single dendritic compartment. How to decide how to convert a single length + diameter pair for the dendrite into two or three pairs?
- Possible approach \#1: Keep equal lengths and diameters for all compartments initially
- Possible approach \#2: Set the lengths and diameters according to original proportions
- Removed active channels during passive fitting


## All traces for Experiment 20170114T2152



- Change interval of passive fit to just $160 \mathbf{~ m s}$ (including the $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$ current pulse)


## All traces for Experiment 20170115T1834



- Fitted all trials from the same cell (E091710, which was the cell that the initial parameters were fitted to by Christine)

- Just to show that all 12 different GABA IPSC curves (4 pharm x 3 G incr conditions) will be used in the active fit:


- Fitted E091710's passive traces with all passive params changing


- Fitted E091710's passive traces with only gpas and epas changing


- Fitted E091710's passive traces with only diameters changing


- Fitted E091710's passive traces with only Lengths of dendrites changing


- Fitted E091710's passive traces with gpas, epas \& Lengths of dendrites changing


- Overall comparison:

| Condition | Original | All params | gpas <br> epas | All diams | All Ls | gpas epas <br> all Ls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total error | 50 | $\sim 8$ | $\sim 9$ | $\sim 15$ | $\sim 20$ | $\sim 8$ |
| diam_soma | 38.4 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 7}$ | 38.4 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 38.4 | 38.4 |
| L_dend1 | 12.5 | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | 12.5 | 12.5 | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ |
| diam_dend1 | 10.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 7 3}$ | 10.3 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 3}$ | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| L_dend2 | 84.7 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ | 84.7 | 84.7 | $\mathbf{9 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1}$ |
| diam_dend2 | 8.5 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1}$ | 8.5 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2}$ | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| gpas | $8.21 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 0 e - 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 9 e - 5}$ | $8.21 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $8.21 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 e - 5}$ |
| epas | $\mathbf{- 8 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 4 . 9}$ |

- Modified optimizergui_4compgabab.m:
- 2017-01-14 Added num_buildparams and added build parameters to paramnames, parammax, parammin, paraminit, dolog
- 2017-01-15 Shortened cprwin_orig from [100, 500] to [100, 260] to be consistent with dclampPassiveFitter.m
- 2017-01-16 Added rowmode so that each pharm, gincr pair is a row for rowmode == 2
- 2017-01-17 Make cm and Ra fixed values
- 2017-01-18 Changed 'MaxIter' \& 'MaxFunEvals' to 200
- Modified run_neuron_once_4compgabab.m:
- 2017-01-14 Added build() to sim_cmd
- 2017-01-14 Added sim_mode to both build() and sim() of sim_cmd
- Modified singleneuron4compgabab.hoc:
- 2017-01-14 Build the TC neuron with arguments: 'diam_soma', 'L_dend1', 'diam_dend1', 'L_dend2', 'diam_dend2'
- 2017-01-14 Added TC4_pas.tem so that active channels can be turned off when performing passive fitting
- Modified TC4.tem:
- 2017-01-14 Removed tc4.geo and set up geometry in init with arguments
- Created TC4_pas.tem:
- 2017-01-14 Modified from TC4.tem with active mechanisms removed
- Modified dclampDataExtractor.m:
- 2017-01-16 Changed current pulse response to last just 150 ms (cprwin is changed from $[95,500]$ to $[95,260])$
- Modified FindIndToFit.m:
- 2017-01-16 Use all folders of the form TAKE_OUT_***.png
- Modified find_LTS.m:
- 2017-01-16 Accounted for the condition that npks $=\mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}$ (no local maximums exist)
- Modified optimizer_4compgabab.m:
- 2017-01-17 Modified runauto so that it will fit current pulse response
- Modified fminsearch3_4compgabab.m:
- 2017-01-17 Now saves the error figure and params as .p \& .mat files
- 2017-01-17 Now logs everything using log_errors_params.m
- 2017-01-17 Changed outparams.runnum_auto to be current number (removed "+ 1")


## 1/17/2017~1/21/2017

## Optimization algorithm

- Cleaned up code and understood the optimization algorithm implemented in fminsearch3_4compgabab.m:
- Algorithm: "Nelder-Mead simplex direct search"
- Optimization parameters used:

| Parameter | Value | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tolf_rel | 0.05 | relative error tolerance (w.r.t. smallest error) |
| tolx_rel | 0.05 | relative parameter change tolerance (w.r.t. best set of <br> parameters) |
| usual_delta | 0.5 | relevant increment for non-zero parameters |
| zero_term_delta | 0.00025 | absolute increment for zero parameters |
| rho | 1 | used in the computation of the "reflection point" and others |
| chi | 2 | used in the computation of the "expansion point" |
| psi | 0.5 | used in the computation of the "contraction points" |
| sigma | 0.5 | used in the performance of "shrink" |

- Step 1: Transform parameters to unconstrained space
- For parameters used for fitting, transform initial values into the range $\left[\frac{3 \pi}{2}, \frac{5 \pi}{2}\right]_{\text {nonlinearly using arcsin: }}$

$$
p=\arcsin \left(2 \frac{x-L B}{U B-L B}-1\right)+2 \pi
$$

where LB and UB are the lower and upper bounds, respectively.

- Step 2: Initialize simplex: a convex region in the $\mathbf{n}$-dimensional space with $\mathbf{n + 1}$ vertices:
- The first vertex is the set of initial values
- Modified each parameter in turn by the following to get the 2 nd to $\mathrm{n}+1$ th vertices:
- If the parameter is not zero, increment parameter by:
usual_delta * parameter value
- If the parameter is zero, increment parameter by zero_term_delta
- Sort vertices in ascending total error value so that the first vertex has the lowest total error
- Step 3: Compute the "reflection point" and determine whether to use it
- Find the worst point (pworst) and compute the average of the better n points (pbar)
- Compute the "reflection point" (pr): the point rho*||pbar - pworst|| away from pbar in the opposite direction of pworst
- Compute the error associated with the "reflection point"
- If the error associated with the reflection point is better than the previous best point, move on to Step 4a
- If the error associated with the reflection point is not better than the previous best point but better than the second worst point, replace the worst point with the "reflection point"; move on to Step 6
- If the error associated with the reflection point is not better than the second worst point but better than the worst point, move on to Step 4b
- If the error associated with the reflection point is not better than the worst point, move on to Step 4c
Step 4a: Compute the "expansion point" and determine whether to use it
- Compute the "expansion point" (pe): the point chi*rho*||pbar - pworst|| away from pbar in the opposite direction of pworst
- Replace the worst point with the better of the "expansion point" and the "reflection point"; move on to Step 6
- Step 4b: Compute the "outside contraction point" and determine whether to use it
- Compute the "outside contraction point" (pc): the point psi**ho*||pbar pworst|| away from pbar in the opposite direction of pworst
- Replace the worst point with the better of the "outside contraction point" and the "reflection point"; move on to Step 6
- Step 4c: Compute the "inside contraction point" and determine whether to use it
- Compute the "inside contraction point" (pcc): the point psi*||pbar pworst|| away from pbar in the SAME direction as pworst
- If it's better than the worst point, replace the worst point with the "inside contraction point"
- Otherwise, no direction of replacement is better, move on to Step 5
- Step 5: Perform a "shrink"
- Replace all points $p$ other than the best point with the point sigma*||p pbest|| away from pbest in the direction of $p$
- Step 6: Analyze error improvement
- Sort vertices in ascending total error value so that the first vertex has the lowest total error
- Compute maximum error change, maximum parameter change and respective tolerances
Step7: Iterate steps 3~6 until one of the following occurs:
- Both of these are true:
- The maximum coordinate difference between the current best point and the next best $\operatorname{ncp}(\min (2, n))$ other points in the simplex
is less than or equal to simplexout.tolx. Specifically, until

$$
\max _{i}\left(\frac{\left|p_{i}-p_{1}\right|}{\left|p_{1}\right|}\right) \leq \operatorname{TolX} \quad \text { for all parameters } \mathrm{p}
$$

where $p_{1}$ is the iteration of the parameter corresponding to the best vertex, and $p_{i}$ is any other iteration of the parameter

- The corresponding maximum improvement in errors is less than or equal to simplexout.tolf * error of best vertex
- The maximum number of iterations is exceeded
- The maximum number of function evaluations is exceeded
- Step 8: Transform back parameters to original space

$$
x=L B+\frac{\sin (p)+1}{2}(U B-B)
$$

- Logged the optimization path in a csv file and tried to improve the algorithm
- Changed the initial parameter values for $\mathbf{c m}$ from $0.789 \mu \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (Christine's initial value) to $0.88 \boldsymbol{\mu F} / \mathbf{c m}^{2}$ and refitted E091710's passive traces with gpas, epas \& Lengths of dendrites changing

| 1 | itercount | how | func_evals | Error | Maximum error change | Error tolerance | Maximum parameter change | Parameter change tolerance | L_dend1 | L_dend2 | gpas | epas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | initial | 1 | 45.67 | NaN | 0.0001 | NaN | 0.0001 | 12.49 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 3 | 1 | initial simplex | 5 | 20.66 | NaN | 0.0001 | NaN | 0.0001 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 4 | 2 | reflect: < second worst point | 6 | 20.66 | 20.35 | 1.033 | 3.34 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 5 | 3 | reflect: < second worst point | 7 | 20.66 | 20.35 | 1.033 | 3.34 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 6 | 4 | reflect: < second worst point | 8 | 20.66 | 18.37 | 1.033 | 2.752 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 7 | 5 | reflect: < second worst point | 9 | 20.66 | 15.08 | 1.033 | 2.752 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 8 | 6 | contract inside: < worst point | 11 | 20.66 | 15.08 | 1.033 | 2.752 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| 9 | 7 | reflect: < best point | 13 | 16.74 | 18.22 | 0.837 | 2.948 | 0.4754 | 57.76 | 30.54 | 6.49E-05 | -120 |
| 10 |  | reflect: < second worst point | 14 | 16.74 | 14.89 | 0.837 | 2.948 | 0.4754 | 57.76 | 30.54 | 6.49E-05 | -120 |
| 11 | 9 | reflect: < second worst point | 15 | 16.74 | 3.925 | 0.837 | 2.948 | 0.4754 | 57.76 | 30.54 | 6.49E-05 | -120 |
| 12 | 10 | contract outside: < worst point | 17 | 14.34 | 5.687 | 0.7171 | 1.86 | 0.4977 | 33.51 | 25.78 | 7.61E-05 | -118.6 |
| 13 | 11 | reflect: < best point | 19 | 13.34 | 3.399 | 0.667 | 2.581 | 0.4835 | 48.53 | 5.422 | $7.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -37.04 |
| 14 | 12 | shrink | 25 | 13.34 | 12.42 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 15 | 13 | reflect: < second worst point | 26 | 13.34 | 12.42 | 0.6668 | 1. 161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 16 | 14 | contract outside: < worst point | 28 | 13.34 | 12.42 | 0.6668 | 1. 161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 17 | 15 | reflect: < second worst point | 29 | 13.34 | 7.49 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 18 | 16 | contract inside: < worst point | 31 | 13.34 | 7.438 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 19 | 17 | reflect: < second worst point | 32 | 13.34 | 5.402 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 20 | 18 | contract inside: < worst point | 34 | 9.785 | 3.555 | 0.4893 | 0.8037 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | 4.57E-05 | -66.05 |
| 21 | 19 | reflect: < second worst point | 35 | 9.785 | 3.555 | 0.4893 | 0.8037 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 22 | 20 | reflect: < second worst point | 36 | 9.785 | 3.555 | 0.4893 | 0.8037 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 23 | 21 | reflect: < second worst point | 37 | 9.785 | 3.555 | 0.4893 | 0.8037 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 24 | 22 | contract inside: < worst point | 39 | 9.156 | 4.181 | 0.4578 | 0.5901 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 25 | 23 | contract inside: < worst point | 41 | 9.156 | 2.944 | 0.4578 | 0.4134 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 26 | 24 | reflect: < second worst point | 42 | 9.156 | 0.8383 | 0.4578 | 0.9844 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 27 | 25 | contract inside: < worst point | 44 | 9. 156 | 0.8383 | 0.4578 | 0.9844 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 28 | 26 | reflect: < second worst point | 45 | 9.156 | 0.629 | 0.4578 | 0.8282 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 29 | 27 | contract inside: < worst point | 47 | 9.156 | 0.629 | 0.4578 | 0.8282 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 30 | 28 | contract inside: < worst point | 49 | 9.156 | 0.509 | 0.4578 | 0.8282 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 31 | 29 | reflect: < second worst point | 50 | 9.156 | 0.1826 | 0.4578 | 0.6848 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 32 | 30 | contract inside: < worst point | 52 | 9.134 | 0.1427 | 0.4567 | 0.3548 | 0.479 | 53.59 | 7.175 | 4.01E-05 | -71.48 |

- Changed the number of points to compare the best point against (ncp) from 2 to the number of parameters (i.e., all the rest of the points in the simplex)

| 7 | 5 | reflect: < second worst point | 9 | 20.66 | 20.35 | 1.033 | 3.34 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | 8.21E-06 | -80.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 6 | contract inside: < worst point | 11 | 20.66 | 18.37 | 1.033 | 2.752 | 0.3921 | 120 | 84.67 | $8.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -80.4 |
| 9 | 7 | reflect: < best point | 13 | 16.74 | 22.05 | 0.837 | 2.948 | 0.4754 | 57.76 | 30.54 | 6.49E-05 | -120 |
| 10 | 8 | reflect: < second worst point | 14 | 16.74 | 19.01 | 0.837 | 2.948 | 0.4754 | 57.76 | 30.54 | $6.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -120 |
| 11 | 9 | reflect: < second worst point | 15 | 16.74 | 18.22 | 0.837 | 2.948 | 0.4754 | 57.76 | 30.54 | 6.49E-05 | -120 |
| 12 | 10 | contract outside: < worst point | 17 | 14.34 | 17.28 | 0.7171 | 2.11 | 0.4977 | 33.51 | 25.78 | $7.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -118.6 |
| 13 | 11 | reflect: < best point | 19 | 13.34 | 7.324 | 0.667 | 2.581 | 0.4835 | 48.53 | 5.422 | $7.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -37.04 |
| 14 | 12 | shrink | 25 | 13.34 | 731.8 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.03 |
| 15 | 13 | reflect: < second worst point | 26 | 13.34 | 341.5 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.03 |
| 16 | 14 | contract outside: < worst point | 28 | 13.34 | 16.47 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.03 |
| 17 | 15 | reflect: < second worst point | 29 | 13.34 | 16.09 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.03 |
| 18 | 16 | contract inside: < worst point | 31 | 13.34 | 12.42 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.03 |
| 19 | 17 | reflect: < second worst point | 32 | 13.34 | 7.49 | 0.6668 | 1.161 | 0.4906 | 40.8 | 12.03 | $7.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.03 |
| 20 | 18 | contract inside: < worst point | 34 | 9.785 | 10.99 | 0.4893 | 0.8037 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | 4.57E-05 | -66.05 |
| 21 | 19 | reflect: < second worst point | 35 | 9.785 | 8.954 | 0.4893 | 1.014 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | 4.57E-05 | -66.05 |
| 22 | 20 | reflect: < second worst point | 36 | 9.785 | 8.069 | 0.4893 | 1.014 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | 4.57E-05 | -66.05 |
| 23 | 21 | reflect: < second worst point | 37 | 9.785 | 6.319 | 0.4893 | 0.9307 | 0.4776 | 55.19 | 5.437 | 4.57E-05 | -66.05 |
| 24 | 22 | contract inside: < worst point | 39 | 9.156 | 4.284 | 0.4578 | 0.7709 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 25 | 23 | contract inside: < worst point | 41 | 9.156 | 4.184 | 0.4578 | 0.5901 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 26 | 24 | reflect: < second worst point | 42 | 9.156 | 4.181 | 0.4578 | 0.9844 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 27 | 25 | contract inside: < worst point | 44 | 9.156 | 2.944 | 0.4578 | 0.9844 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 28 | 26 | reflect: < second worst point | 45 | 9.156 | 2.183 | 0.4578 | 0.9844 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 29 | 27 | contract inside: < worst point | 47 | 9.156 | 0.8383 | 0.4578 | 0.9844 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 30 | 28 | contract inside: < worst point | 49 | 9.156 | 0.7013 | 0.4578 | 0.8282 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -56.11 |
| 31 | 29 | reflect: < second worst point | 50 | 9.156 | 0.629 | 0.4578 | 0.8282 | 0.4755 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 32 | 30 | contract inside: < worst point | 52 | 9.134 | 0.5313 | 0.4567 | 0.4734 | 0.479 | 53.59 | 7.175 | 4.01E-05 | -71.48 |
| 33 | 31 | contract inside: < worst point | 54 | 9.045 | 0.2934 | 0.4523 | 0.5932 | 0.4844 | 47.58 | 8.736 | 3.76E-05 | -82.17 |
| 34 | 32 | contract inside: < worst point | 56 | 9.038 | 0.2387 | 0.4519 | 0.4008 | 0.4793 | 53.26 | 8.805 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -73.54 |

## - Changed the definition for parameter change so that the largest parameter doesn't dominate (by normalizing each parameter)

| 17 | 15 | reflect: < second worst point | 29 | 13.34 | 16.09 | 0.6668 | 0.1895 | 0.05 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 16 | contract inside: < worst point | 31 | 13.34 | 12.42 | 0.6668 | 0.1895 | 0.05 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 19 | 17 | reflect: < second worst point | 32 | 13.34 | 7.49 | 0.6668 | 0.1895 | 0.05 | 40.8 | 12.03 | 7.33E-05 | -82.03 |
| 20 | 18 | contract inside: < worst point | 34 | 9.785 | 10.99 | 0.4893 | 0.124 | 0.05 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 21 | 19 | reflect: < second worst point | 35 | 9.785 | 8.954 | 0.4893 | 0.124 | 0.05 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 22 | 20 | reflect: < second worst point | 36 | 9.785 | 8.069 | 0.4893 | 0.124 | 0.05 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 23 | 21 | reflect: < second worst point | 37 | 9.785 | 6.319 | 0.4893 | 0.1925 | 0.05 | 55.19 | 5.437 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -66.05 |
| 24 | 22 | contract inside: < worst point | 39 | 9.156 | 4.284 | 0.4578 | 0.09855 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 25 | 23 | contract inside: < worst point | 41 | 9.156 | 4.184 | 0.4578 | 0.08786 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 26 | 24 | reflect: < second worst point | 42 | 9.156 | 4.181 | 0.4578 | 0.1466 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 27 | 25 | contract inside: < worst point | 44 | 9.156 | 2.944 | 0.4578 | 0.1466 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 28 | 26 | reflect: < second worst point | 45 | 9.156 | 2.183 | 0.4578 | 0.1466 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 29 | 27 | contract inside: < worst point | 47 | 9.156 | 0.8383 | 0.4578 | 0.1466 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 30 | 28 | contract inside: < worst point | 49 | 9.156 | 0.7013 | 0.4578 | 0.1233 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 31 | 29 | reflect: < second worst point | 50 | 9.156 | 0.629 | 0.4578 | 0.1233 | 0.05 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| 32 | 30 | contract inside: < worst point | 52 | 9.134 | 0.5313 | 0.4567 | 0.07441 | 0.05 | 53.59 | 7.175 | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.48 |
| 33 | 31 | contract inside: < worst point | 54 | 9.045 | 0.2934 | 0.4523 | 0.09689 | 0.05 | 47.58 | 8.736 | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.17 |
| 34 | 32 | contract inside: < worst point | 56 | 9.038 | 0.2387 | 0.4519 | 0.06347 | 0.05 | 53.26 | 8.805 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -73.54 |
| 35 | 33 | reflect: < second worst point | 57 | 9.038 | 0.1184 | 0.4519 | 0.06511 | 0.05 | 53.26 | 8.805 | 3.43E-05 | -73.54 |
| 36 | 34 | contract inside: < worst point | 59 | 9.003 | 0.131 | 0.4501 | 0.06504 | 0.05 | 53.97 | 9.939 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -63.18 |
| 37 | 35 | contract inside: < worst point | 61 | 8.992 | 0.1373 | 0.4496 | 0.05186 | 0.05 | 52.05 | 7.65 | 3.83E-05 | -69.97 |
| 38 | 36 | contract outside: < worst point | 63 | 8.992 | 0.05357 | 0.4496 | 0.04252 | 0.05 | 52.05 | 7.65 | 3.83E-05 | -69.97 |

- Lowered tolx_rel \& tolf_rel from 0.05 to $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$

| 31 | 29 | reflect: < second worst point | 50 | 9.156 | 0.629 | 0.09156 | 0.1233 | 0.01 | 57.55 | 13.08 | 3.33E-05 | -56.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 30 | contract inside: < worst point | 52 | 9.134 | 0.5313 | 0.09134 | 0.07441 | 0.01 | 53.59 | 7.175 | 4.01E-05 | -71.48 |
| 33 | 31 | contract inside: < worst point | 54 | 9.045 | 0.2934 | 0.09045 | 0.09689 | 0.01 | 47.58 | 8.736 | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -82.17 |
| 34 | 32 | contract inside: < worst point | 56 | 9.038 | 0.2387 | 0.09038 | 0.06347 | 0.01 | 53.26 | 8.805 | 3.43E-05 | -73.54 |
| 35 | 33 | reflect: < second worst point | 57 | 9.038 | 0.1184 | 0.09038 | 0.06511 | 0.01 | 53.26 | 8.805 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -73.54 |
| 36 | 34 | contract inside: < worst point | 59 | 9.003 | 0.131 | 0.09003 | 0.06504 | 0.01 | 53.97 | 9.939 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -63.18 |
| 37 | 35 | contract inside: < worst point | 61 | 8.992 | 0.1373 | 0.08992 | 0.05186 | 0.01 | 52.05 | 7.65 | 3.83E-05 | -69.97 |
| 38 | 36 | contract outside: < worst point | 63 | 8.992 | 0.05357 | 0.08992 | 0.04252 | 0.01 | 52.05 | 7.65 | 3.83E-05 | -69.97 |
| 39 | 37 | contract inside: < worst point | 65 | 8.985 | 0.05324 | 0.08985 | 0.04979 | 0.01 | 50.43 | 8.963 | 3.67E-05 | -77.01 |
| 40 | 38 | contract inside: < worst point | 67 | 8.985 | 0.02712 | 0.08985 | 0.04979 | 0.01 | 50.43 | 8.963 | 3.67E-05 | -77.01 |
| 41 | 39 | reflect: < second worst point | 68 | 8.985 | 0.01832 | 0.08985 | 0.05773 | 0.01 | 50.43 | 8.963 | 3.67E-05 | -77.01 |
| 42 | 40 | contract inside: < worst point | 70 | 8.985 | 0.01179 | 0.08985 | 0.05773 | 0.01 | 50.43 | 8.963 | 3.67E-05 | -77.01 |
| 43 | 41 | contract inside: < worst point | 72 | 8.98 | 0.01612 | 0.0898 | 0.03505 | 0.01 | 52.21 | 8.598 | 3.63E-05 | -70.87 |
| 44 | 42 | contract outside: < worst point | 74 | 8.98 | 0.01213 | 0.0898 | 0.02145 | 0.01 | 52.21 | 8.598 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -70.87 |
| 45 | 43 | contract inside: < worst point | 76 | 8.974 | 0.01439 | 0.08974 | 0.01985 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 46 | 44 | reflect: < second worst point | 77 | 8.974 | 0.01157 | 0.08974 | 0.01985 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 47 | 45 | contract inside: < worst point | 79 | 8.974 | 0.01067 | 0.08974 | 0.01985 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 48 | 46 | reflect: < second worst point | 80 | 8.974 | 0.01035 | 0.08974 | 0.03283 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 49 | 47 | reflect: < second worst point | 81 | 8.974 | 0.006988 | 0.08974 | 0.03283 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 50 | 48 | contract inside: < worst point | 83 | 8.974 | 0.006914 | 0.08974 | 0.03283 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 51 | 49 | contract inside: < worst point | 85 | 8.974 | 0.005825 | 0.08974 | 0.02063 | 0.01 | 52 | 8.268 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -71.33 |
| 52 | 50 | shrink | 91 | 8.971 | 0.01415 | 0.08971 | 0.00949 | 0.01 | 52.1 | 8.431 | 3.68E-05 | -71.1 |


| Condition | Previous | Changed cm | Changed <br> ncp | Modified <br> parameter <br> change | Lowered tolx <br> \& tolf to 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total error | $\sim 8$ | 9.134 | 9.038 | 8.992 | 8.971 |
| L_dend1 | 57.7 | 53.59 | 53.26 | 52.05 | 52.1 |
| L_dend2 | 11.1 | 7.715 | 8.805 | 7.65 | 8.431 |
| gpas | $3.01 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $4.01 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $3.43 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $3.83 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $3.68 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| epas | -54.9 | -71.48 | -73.54 | -69.97 | -71.1 |

- Modified fminsearch3_4compgabab.m:
- 2017-01-21 Cleaned up code
- 2017-01-21 Changed the number of parameters to compare against to n (from $\min (2, \mathrm{n})$ )
- 2017-01-21 Changed definition of maxparamchange \& tolx so that the largest parameter doesn't dominate
- 2017-01-21 Replace by the "reflection point" as long as it is better than the worst point
- 2017-01-21 Decrease tolf_rel \&\& tolx_rel from 0.05 to 0.01
- Created $\log _{\text {_errors_params.m }}$
- 2017-01-17 Created


## Plan for next week

- Patching:
- Add a positive/negative pressure system. Use the manometer to check performance
- Add an audio monitor
- Prepare a battery charger for the 12 -volt Pb battery
- Ascertain whether the center is also off using the image under AxoCam
- Find an excitatory cell marker for LGN recordings
- (Wed or the following week) Practice patching LGN neurons from 2-month old mice provided by Geoff
- Passive fitting with simulations:
- Create a concise log file; add timestamp to log file name
- Figure out what bounds would be physiological and impose any necessary restrictions on the relative lengths and diameters of each segment
- Use results from the curve-fitting method as the starting points for the biophysical model. What is the equivalent length \& diameter of the cylinder given the diameter of the sphere? How to decide how to convert a single length + diameter pair for the dendrite into two or three pairs?
- Bootstrap the optimization procedure for the current pulse response fit by varying the initial values for the parameters
- Further improve the optimization algorithm
i. Apply stochasticity in each step? (Perhaps not a good idea for reproducibility)
ii. Systematically sample across entire space initially? (to avoid converging on local minimums)
iii. Cross-entropy optimization algorithm
iv. Implement the control variable $\mathbf{u}(\mathrm{t})$ in the error function
- Compare the fitted passive parameters across cells
- Await response from John on questions about the passive fitting
- Data analysis:
- Decide what to do with

CONTESTED_TAKE_OUT_More_than_one_LTS_no_spont

- Ask everyone to score Word files
- Fix find_LTS.m to enforce overrules.
- Rerun dclampDataExtractor.m with all the overrules enforced (dclampDataExtractor14.slurm, giving the version old15)
- Brian's tasks:
- Write Microsoft Visual Basic code for analyzing scored Word files (already done)
- Analyze scoring results (after everyone finishes scoring)
- Fit Gaussians to find a threshold in the RMSE histograms from the curve fitting method
- Figure out whether the traces with high RMSE in the rising phase are the same traces with high RMSE in the falling phase
- Devise a good threshold for "noisy recordings"
- Take out any trace with error greater than the threshold from the trace averaging.

Compute the mean recorded voltage change ( $\Delta \bar{V}_{r e c}$ ), the mean current pulse amplitude (cpa_mean), the mean pulse width (pw_mean) by averaging over all traces remaining.

- Fix plot_traces_abf.m:
i. Suppress aberrant output
ii. Automatically detect whether a voltage or current is recorded (based on the maximum absolute values and label the axes appropriately (esp. Voltage clamp recordings, see 'A20161216_0008.abf' for example)
- SWD detection w/ Vignesh \& Mark:
- Figure out how to screen through detection results
- Computational Neuroscience:
- Computational Neuroscience (University of Washington Coursera): Week 6 Quiz
- Computational Neuroscience (University of Washington Coursera): Week 7
- Patch Clamp Electrophysiology:
- 6.002.1x Circuits and Electronics (MITx): Week 2
- Neuroscience in General:
- NESC 7030 Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Neuroscience: Week 3
- Research in General:
- Molecular Foundations of Medicine (Stanford EdX): Molecular Techniques
- Mathematical Biostatistics Bootcamp 1 (Johns Hopkins Coursera): Week 3

